Bethel University

Spark

All Electronic Theses and Dissertations

2020

Lifestyle Changes for Women with Gestational Diabetes

Brooklyn Feustel Elkan Bethel University

Kristen McCammon Bethel University

Follow this and additional works at: https://spark.bethel.edu/etd



Recommended Citation

Elkan, Brooklyn Feustel and McCammon, Kristen, "Lifestyle Changes for Women with Gestational Diabetes" (2020). *All Electronic Theses and Dissertations*. 189. https://spark.bethel.edu/etd/189

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Spark. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Electronic Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Spark. For more information, please contact kent-gerber@bethel.edu.



LIFESTYLE CHANGES FOR WOMEN WITH GESTATIONAL DIABETES

A MASTER'S PROJECT SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL

BETHEL UNIVERSITY

BY

BROOKYN F. ELKAN

KRISTEN N. MCCAMMON

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE OF NURSING

MAY 2020

BETHEL UNIVERSITY



Lifestyle Changes for Women with Gestational Diabetes

Brooklyn F. Elkan

Kristen N. McCammon

May 2020

Approvals:

Project Advisor Name: Bernita Missal
Project Advisor Signature:
Second Reader Name: Katrina Wu
Second Reader Signature:
Director of Nurse-Midwifery Program Name: <u>Jane Wrede, PhD, APRN, CNM</u>
Director of Nurse-Midwifery Program Signature:
/



Brooklyn Elkan Acknowledgements

To my husband Jason, daughter Andalyn, mother Marilyn, stepfather David, mother-inlaw Jackie, my dad Doug, my step-mom Robin, my sister Emily, my brother James, my sister Hannah, and our baby-sitter Natalie who have supported me as I have gone through this program, I thank you. Without your support and understanding I would not have been able to reach this point in my education. My daughter has expressed not wanting to be with a sitter, but I know she has always been in loving arms and well cared for. I look forward to resuming special activities with you all. Also, because of you I have been able to follow my passion for women's health and share with them the love and understanding you have shown me.

Thank you to Dr. Julie Ann Vingers. Thank you for listening to me cry and giving me words of encouragement when needed, whilst helping me find my own confidence so that I could grow as a person, student, nurse, and midwife. You made me feel important in this process and not just a name on a roster. You really got to know me, and your support has been crucial on this journey of mine.

Thank you to my classmates that offered support throughout the semester. Especially Kristen and Amy. Amy for letting me in her home when I needed to travel for school and introducing me to her wonderful family who have all shown me love as well! You have an amazing family. They are a wonderful support system. Kristen and I, who wrote this paper with me, while residing in different states and supporting one another with scholastic and familial issues all along the way. Thank you ladies!

I would also like to thank all the midwives who took the time to mentor me along this journey. Some of you I have worked side-by-side with, others have been direct mentors about all of what it means to be a midwife. Without your honesty and professional examples, I would not



feel as prepared to start my new journey. Especially Cassandra, who opened her home to me when I was too tired to drive home after a long call, held me to a higher standard than just a student, and shared her personal stories with me so I could better understand other women's journeys in health and how that translates too what we do within our profession. I am blessed that I will be able to join all of you in representing midwives in our community.

Kristen McCammon Acknowledgements

To my husband Matthew, son Landen, daughter Bosten, mother Marilynn, friend Terrie, my baby-sitter Lindsey, and mentor Jennifer who have been there to support me and push me throughout this whole program, I thank you from the bottom of my heart. All of their love, support, and understanding got me though all of the tough times and made me able to succeed. All of these people and many more of my friends and family made sacrifices to get me to where I am today and I will always be indebted to you and will be forever grateful for all you have done to help me get to this point. There have been many times when I couldn't attend events or play with my children, but everyone stepped up to help make sure that their lives were not interrupted. Thank you to my grandmother Mabel who I know would be so proud of me! Thinking of her got me through all of the hard times when I wanted to quit. Even though she was not alive to see me carry out this dream I know that she has been shining down on me from heaven and getting me through every day. I pursued the career of nursing and midwifery partly because I knew that nursing was something my grandmother always dreamed of doing. She was a loving, caring, and nurturing person and she gave me those traits.

Thank you to Dr. Julie Ann Vingers who has always been there to encourage me and been there to help guide me through the trying times I have had. Every time I have talked to you



you made me feel brave, confident, and on top of the world. Without your support I don't know that I could have made it through this program.

Amy and Brooklyn, my wonderful classmates and friends. Thank you for being a shoulder to cry on. Even though we were all going through this together you would put down anything to be there to listen and support me. I will always cherish your love and support and I know that it will carry with us for the rest of our lives. Amy thank you for accepting me into your family and never hesitating to let me stay with you for intensives. Your family has become my family and you a mentor! You are an amazing mama and I hope you know that every day. Brooklyn, thank you for being my partner in writing this paper and supporting me with anything I needed!

I would also like to thank all the staff and providers at Salem Clinic and Salem Hospital, especially Jennifer who took the time to mentor me and support me through this journey. You have all been my family for the last eight years, but these last three you have made many sacrifices to get me through this program and help me grow as a midwife. All of you have been such wonderful examples for me professionally and personally. I am blessed to call you my work family!

Kristen McCammon



Abstract

Background/Purpose: The purpose of this paper was to evaluate the current literature to see if there was a common thread in treatment/prevention of the progression of gestational diabetes in pregnant women.

Theoretical Framework: Dorthea Orem's theory, The Self-Care Deficit Theory, was the theoretical framework used in this critical review of the literature. This theory asserts that patients should be self-reliant and responsible for their healthcare. This is achieved by providers giving them options and advice based on evidence to change their lifestyle and take control. This directly relates to managing GDM, because providers can only counsel the patients on changes, but the patient has to implement the change.

Methods: Twenty scholarly research articles were reviewed using current John's Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool and then categorized based on an approach to finding a way to reduce the incidence of the progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2.

Results/Findings: The most studied methods for treatment of GDM A1 was diet and exercise. A specific diet was not found, but overall a reduction in sweets and carbohydrates with an increase in vegetables, whole grains was encouraged. There was not a specific method of exercise identified, however the common recommendation was moderate exercise for 30 minutes spread out for a total of 150 minutes a week.

Implications for Research and Practice: There are numerous areas that need to continue to be researched in order to control the progression and prevent GDM. Larger sample sizes are needed with multiple ethnicities to make the results more generalizable. Another area to be researched is to target gestational weight gain goals that are appropriate for women with GDM. More studies



will also improve the efficacy of the integration of these changes to increase compliance and better glycemic control.

Keywords: Gestational diabetes, GDM, GDM A1, GDM A2, uncontrolled gestational diabetes, uncontrolled GDM A2, diabetes in pregnancy, lifestyle modifications, nutrition in gestational diabetes, diet, exercise, alternative therapies, integration of technology with gestational diabetes, midwifery care for gestational diabetes



Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	.3
Abstract	.6
Chapter I: Introduction1	10
Statement of Purpose1	11
Evidence Demonstrating Need1	12
Significance to Nurse-Midwifery1	14
Theoretical Framework1	15
Summary1	17
Chapter II: Methods1	19
Search Strategies1	19
Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion of Research Studies2	20
Summary of Selected Studies2	20
Evaluation Criteria2	21
Summary2	22
Chapter III: Literature Review and Analysis	23
Synthesis of Matrix2	23
Synthesis of Major Findings2	23
Treatment of Diabetes Type II and Gestational Diabetes2	24
Diet and exercise2	25
Physical exercise2	27



Gestational weight gain	29
Social factors	30
Integrating technology	35
Alternative therapies for therapy	
Summary	
Chapter IV: Discussion, Implications, and Conclusions	43
Literature Synthesis	43
Current Trends and Gaps in the Literature	46
Current successful tactics	46
Access to care	47
Implication for Midwifery Practice	47
Recommendations for Future Research	48
Integration of the Self-Deficit Theory	50
Conclusion	51
References	53
Appendix 1: Matrix of the Literature	59



Chapter I: Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a common complication in pregnancy that has increased in prevalence nationwide and encountered daily in obstetrical care. GDM is defined as impaired glucose intolerance due to pancreatic ß-cell dysfunction, which means these patients have an underlying chronic insulin resistance that pregnancy makes more prevalent (Plows, Stanley, Baker, Reynolds, & Vickers, 2018). GDM is diagnosed during pregnancy with routine laboratory screening, usually between 24-28 weeks' gestation unless there are high risk factors and it is tested with the initial pregnancy labs (Harrison et al., 2016). Adequate control of GDM is acquired when patients are able to achieve euglycemia (blood sugars in a normal range). When controlled without medications by diet and lifestyle changes, it is referred to as diet-controlled diabetes or GDM A1. If GDM is not able to be managed with these changes, medications are introduced, and it is referred to as GDM A2 (ACOG, 2018). The rates of type 2 diabetes in the United States have tripled in the last three decades and it is estimated that currently approximately 28 million people in the United States have diabetes (Shellhaas et al., 2019). As of 2009, the incidence of GDM was approximately 7% in the United States (ACOG, 2018).

The presence of GDM does not just have an effect during the pregnancy but can have long-term health effects as well. With poorly controlled GDM there can be a significant increase in negative outcomes for the mother and the child. The long-term effects for the mother include a 35-50% increased risk of reoccurrence of GDM and a seven-fold increase of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus later in life (Harrison et al., 2016). Women who have had GDM have a 50-70% chance of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus later in life, which makes it imperative to help control the progression of the disease and decrease the occurrence for the future health of these women (Shellhaas et al., 2019). GDM increases the chances of macrosomia (fetal weight at birth



10

over 4,000 grams) and birth complications (Plows et al., 2018). Children that are born from mothers with GDM not only have an increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes in their lifetime, but this also an increased risk for cardiovascular disease and leukemia (Harrison et al., 2016). Midwives and women's health care providers alike need to be informed of the best practice guidelines and education available to help control and possibly prevent the development of GDM to protect the health of mothers and their children.

As a society, if one can increase education, compliance, and management of GDM then the risk of both short-term and long-term health complications for the mother and child can be reduced. One way of doing this can include increasing education and bringing up the potential of a GDM diagnosis during first trimester antepartum visits. Early discussion and intervention could help drastically decrease the development of the disease, as well as increase compliance and management when diagnosed. This PICO question aims to demonstrate that there could be a reduction of gestational diabetes with lifestyle interventions in pregnancy and decrease the progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2. Moreover, the question remains, can it be demonstrated that women from various ethnicities and/or family history backgrounds, who implement physical activity and lifestyle changes into their lives early in pregnancy decrease their rates of gestational diabetes and prevent the diagnosis of GDM or need for medicinal intervention? This paper will address this PICO question and provide a critical review of the literature to identify if lifestyle modifications in pregnant women that are diagnosed with GDM can help in the control of GDM and decrease the progression to GDM A2

Statement of Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to critically examine scholarly writings and research to distinguish if those diagnosed with GDM can have a reduction in the progression from GDM A1



to GDM A2, as well as a reduction in delivery complications. The areas of focus will be on diet modifications, social contributors, weight gain, and exercise, both before and during the pregnancy. Further research and examination of these modifications will help to identify the most substantial changes needed to implement into the education given to women in preconception counseling, new obstetric care, and at the time of diagnosis in order to improve the control of their diabetes, as well as long term health for the mother and their child.

Evidence Demonstrating Need

Worldwide prevalence of the population's health is declining due to obesity and poor health (Diabetes, 2020). Obesity and poor health are risk factors for the development of type 2 diabetes and GDM. It is estimated that by 2030 type 2 diabetes will affect almost half a billion people worldwide (Koivusalo et al., 2016). Women who have GDM have a 10% chance of developing type 2 diabetes soon after delivery and a 70% chance of developing type 2 diabetes within 10 years after delivery (Koivusalo et al., 2016). It would be expected that with the ongoing preference or necessity of a fast-paced lifestyle in most cultures, the increased need for convenience in food choices and lack of time for self-care, the rates of GDM will continue to inflate as those factors have been correlated with GDM and the continued rise of type 2 diabetes. Therefore, understanding ways to help increase social awareness of the impact of those lifestyle choices could prevent GDM and is crucial to the health of women and newborns worldwide as it could help to establish new practice guidelines for health care. Health care providers have the responsibility to provide adequate and functional interventions in order to create a healthier and safer environment for women and their pregnancy. Providers also have the moral responsibility of providing the best care and education for their patients. Women who develop GDM not only have risks of having macrosomia, but GDM also leads to an increased risk for a cesarean



delivery and/or shoulder dystocia (Lefkovits et al., 2019). This diagnosis can also have an increased risk of preeclampsia, GDM in future pregnancies, and developing type 2 diabetes later in life compared to women who do not develop GDM (ACOG, 2018). Babies of mothers with GDM have risks of macrosomia, neonatal hypoglycemia, shoulder dystocia, birth trauma, bone fracture, nerve palsy, hyperbilirubinemia, and stillbirth (Lefkovits et al., 2019).

Costs of treating and managing GDM continue to climb as the occurrence of the condition rises. In 2007, it was estimated that 180,000 babies born to mothers with GDM increased medical costs by 636 million dollars (Dall et al., 2014). When women are diagnosed with GDM they utilize more health care access during prenatal care, delivery, and postpartum which leads to an increased expenditure of healthcare funds. An increased rate in cesarean deliveries', adverse pregnancy complications, and effects on the newborns leads to longer hospitalization; therefore, increasing healthcare expenditure. During prenatal care, patients with GDM are also more susceptible to health conditions such as urinary tract infections, increased rates of preeclampsia, and complications of elevated blood sugars (Dall et al., 2014). Additionally, they have more visits to monitor the health of the baby leading to an increase in cost of their care (Dall et al., 2014). The national cost of healthcare related to GDM in 2012 was 1.3 billion dollars, averaging 5800 U.S. dollars per case of GDM (Dall et al., 2014). Therefore, as the rates of GDM increase, the national financial burden increases as well. Conclusively, increasing research and education into prevention of GDM could in the long term lower the healthcare costs for women with GDM.

There have been numerous randomized controlled trials examining exercise and other lifestyle interventions and how they affect the control of type 2 diabetes in adults, but studies are scarce on these interventions in GDM (ACOG, 2018). Limited research and conclusions to the



best interventions for lifestyle management in GDM necessitates a critical review of the available literature to identify areas of need for continued study to understand the most appropriate actions to decrease the rates of GDM. Additionally, ongoing critical review of literature and research in this area could help to develop safe and effective interventions that can be offered to women throughout the prenatal period to improve pregnancy outcomes and overall health.

Significance to Nurse-Midwifery

The philosophy of care of the American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM) stated that midwives are to affirm the power and strength of women as well as the importance of their health and wellbeing of their families (ACNM, 2012). This statement reiterated that nursemidwives are essential in promoting health habits and educating women on reducing the risks of adverse effects for them and their babies. Midwifery practice should give the most accurate information on prevention and management of GDM. One of the hallmarks for midwifery is to promote continuity of care, as well as health promotion, disease prevention, and health education (ACNM, 2012). Research into GDM and lifestyle interventions and prevention of GDM, can also decrease the progression of GDM to GDM A2 that relates directly to this hallmark of midwifery.

Continuing to be informed on the latest standards of practice is crucial to midwifery care. By doing a critical review of the evidence and research into GDM treatment midwives will be able to better implement changes to make a difference. Reviewing the literature and research on diet modifications, social contributors, weight gain, and exercise and the way that they influence GDM and the progression will help contribute to more precise education backed by evidence. A



better understanding of management and prevention of GDM by lifestyle modifications will also decrease health care funds being spent on GDM and type 2 diabetes.

Lifestyle changes that can be implemented into the lives of these women and their families can be simple and sustainable. It seems that with a few interventions in one's daily life, midwives will not only change the lives of their patients but family members as well, increasing the overall health of society. Midwifery care is known to be associated with a holistic approach to wellness and overall health, it's important to consider lifestyle interventions to prevent the progression of GDM, and to requiring medication or with the likelihood of GDM A1 progressing to GDM A2 patients may no longer be able to receive midwifery care and be transferred to a physician; another compelling argument for continuing research in this area and reduce the level of medical intervention needed.

Theoretical Framework

The Self-Care Deficit Theory by Orem directly relates to the discussion of managing glucose with lifestyle modifications as it encourages educating women on GDM and the effects of diet and exercise. In following this approach, the clinician is expected to provide their patients with knowledge about potential health problems to prevent-future conditions and improve their overall self-care. This theory asserts that patients should be self-reliant and responsible for their health care and by giving them the evidence or options to change their lifestyle; the clinician is offering more responsibility to the patient to take control. This approach thereby helps reduce the risk of a prevalent medical condition such as GDM at an early onset. In Orem's theory, there are six major assumptions; people should be responsible for their care, people are distinct individuals, nursing is a form of action, successfully meeting universal and developmental needs are important in prevention and health, a person's knowledge of potential



health problems are needed to promote self-care, and self/dependent care are learned behaviors (Nursing Theory, 2016). Orem's model suggested that nursing is required when the individual is not able to provide continuous effective self-care and then identified five methods of helping. These are: acting for and doing for others, guiding others, supporting another, providing an environment to promote personal development, and teaching another (Nursing Theory, 2016). Thus, the clinician's role can be to advocate for patients when their learned self-care behaviors are no longer effective or may relate to a medical condition such as gestational diabetes. By increasing education and preventative factors, patients can recognize the signs earlier in the stages of diagnosis that indicates they have a potential health problem or how to reduce risk of further symptoms and impact.

Incorporating Orem's theory into nursing is broken down into three parts; the assessment, diagnosis, and then implementation and finally evaluation (Orem, 2001). Basic conditioning factors are internal or external elements that can directly affect the individual's ability to engage in self-care that is required (Gatlin & Insel 2015). Orem inferred that people who already demonstrate their own self-care have the acquired ability to meet the requirements for self-care in changing and complex situations (Orem, 2001). Breaking down Orem's theory into this PICO question, in pregnant women who are diagnosed with GDM, what is the difference in progression from GDM A1 to GDM A2 and delivery complications between those who manage glucose with lifestyle modifications? One could apply that the therapeutic self-care demand would be the awareness of potential problems and adherence to a new activity regimen. If the patient had not incorporated activity into their lives prior to pregnancy, they could be thought to have an inadequate self-care agency. The provider would then apply the theory and



teach the patient how to incorporate activity changes and the potential benefits that it could have on their pregnancy and decreasing the risk for gestational diabetes.

Gestational diabetes is common but can be controlled and prevented. This diagnosis can be managed with self-care and added medications. Increasing knowledge of the components that can decrease the risks and control the diagnosis is an important concept to teach women. In response to a gestational diabetes diagnosis, women need to be held accountable for their wellbeing along with the health of their baby when diagnosed with this condition. Therefore, incorporating the Self-Care Deficit theory into education and prevention of gestational diabetes allows their care providers to empower them with the information that they need to take charge of their health and minimize risk to their personal health and their infant. Women taking responsibility for their gestational diabetes and clinician's using the self-care deficit theory can help them potentially avoid the risk or development of gestational diabetes. Additionally, increased knowledge to patients can spread through peer influences and the effect can have a significant impact on society. Women who might not seek medical services or receive the education could potentially hear from a friend, family member, or peer about the health information and recognize their own medical condition, seeking help and treatment when they otherwise would not have been reached. This accurate health information regarding self-care and prevention can spread from person-to-person, increasing the overall wellness as a society to perpetuate a future of improved self-care.

Summary

Gestational diabetes is increasing in society and can lead to negative impacts for the mother and child not only during pregnancy, but later in life. In order to improve the overall health of women in society it is imperative that risks for developing the condition are decreased.



A decrease in the risk factors could help prevent this condition, therefore decreasing negative outcomes for mothers and their babies. This evidence from the research does not just impact midwives, but OB/GYNs and primary care providers who are have a role in implementing these changes. Lifestyle changes were also identified to have a tremendous impact on the progression of GDM and the health of patients. The methods that were utilized to search for research and appraise scholarly studies and writings for lifestyle changes in GDM will be explained in chapter two. The third chapter will discuss the findings in the articles with their strengths and limitations in the research along with recommended implementations into midwifery care and obstetric practices. The final chapter will provide a synthesis of the studies reviewed and identify gaps found in the literature along with recommendations for future research.



Chapter II: Methods

The purpose of this chapter is to outline the methods used to accumulate scholarly literature in order to determine the effectiveness of alternative therapies in directly treating and preventing the worsening of gestational diabetes. Several databases and the recommendation of a professional peer were utilized. Overall, 1,392 articles were reviewed as represented on the database; through exclusion within titles and content, 20 articles met the inclusion criteria and were utilized in this literature review.

Search Strategies

The literature review started with a list of resources provided by a peer-certified nursemidwife (CNM) who was also conducting a literature review related to gestational diabetes. Her sources were from CINAHL and PubMed search engines. The 44 articles were reviewed and those that were too old to be relevant (anything older than five years) were eliminated. In addition, any meta-analysis, Cochrane or literature reviews, that were not accessible because of cost and resources available were also eliminated. This left seven articles. The reference lists from these seven articles were reviewed for additional relevant sources which resulted in eight more studies that met inclusion criteria for a total of fifteen articles.

Next, a search utilizing the Bethel University Online Library was performed using the following key terms: gestational diabetes, management, and lifestyle intervention. These key terms were added together as inclusion criteria and individual searches on these topics resulted in too large of a sample size. Then, articles were limited to those that were peer reviewed, available in English, and written within the last two years. This resulted in 1,932 articles. From this grouping of articles, they were further eliminated based on the titles, irrelevant content, studies



with very small sample sizes, Cochrane or other literature review and any meta-analysis. This left 20 articles as noted in the matrix.

Criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion of Research Studies

The research for this study started in 2019. The articles included from the original resources were limited to a five-year range from the origination of the research, despite continuing the project into 2020. The 20 articles that remained were from the years 2014 – 2018. There is one article outside the requirement from 2011, but it was relevant to the overall study and was determined appropriate to include in the literature review. Titles were initially reviewed to eliminate non-pertinent articles. Examples of titles that were automatically ruled out had the use of medicine for GDM control, the impact or emotional toll on a person with gestational diabetes, and childhood diabetes. The focus was on lifestyle modifications that focused on exercise, diet, peer support, phone applications or other digital advances for the treatment and prevention of GDM. Abstracts were then reviewed, and further eliminations were made due to the small sample size, unrelated content, inconclusive findings and other factors such as poor study quality and/or design.

Summary of Selected Studies

The 20 articles included in this study were then broken down and studied resulting in a retrospective observational study, a cross sectional study, a sociological design study, an observational study, a mixed method design study, an experimental study, and fourteen randomized controlled studies. Research locations were worldwide including the United States (four), Finland (three), Australia (three), China (two), Israel (one), France (one), Spain (one), Switzerland (one), England (one), India (one), Iran (one) and one large study that covered 10 areas in Europe. Since GDM is increasing worldwide, it was determined and was represented in



the articles, and several approaches from around the world that different approaches should be considered when trying to find a solution (Wang et al., 2017).

Evaluation Criteria

The Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool was utilized to then breakdown the remaining articles (Dang & Dearholt, 2018). The articles were categorized into appraisal categories of qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods. Quantitative studies have numerical data, qualitative studies have narrative data, and mixed methods have a combination of both types of data. Then, studies were evaluated on their level of evidence based on what type of studies were performed, level I – IV. This resulted in 17 level I studies, two level II studies, and one level III study. Level I studies are randomized controlled trials (RCTs), experimental studies, and systematic reviews of RCTs with or without meta-analysis. Level II studies are quasiexperimental studies, systematic review of a combination of RCTs, quasi-experimental studies, and quasi-experimental studies with or without meta-analysis. Level III studies are nonexperimental studies, systematic review of combination of RCTs, quasi-experimental and nonexperimental studies, and non-experimental with or without meta-analysis. Lastly, they were graded on their strength and quality: A/High, B/Good, or C/Low. There was one study with a A/High rating, and the remaining 19 were B/Good quality. Most studies had good design, but small sample sizes were challenging to evaluate as they could not easily be translated to a larger audience. A high-quality study has generalizable results and requires an adequate sample size to evoke statistical relevance, consistent data, and contains a literature review to substantiate the reasoning for the study. It is rare that a single study can achieve this rating and limited the literature review available.



Summary

In the research process, twenty articles were selected for inclusion for the literature review. Sources were from professional colleagues as well as search engines such as PubMed, CINAHL, and the Bethel University Online Library. Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were set for both researchers to pare down the large amount of research available. The remaining twenty articles ranged from 2014 – 2018, with one article from 2011. Both researchers used the same Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool for evaluating the research in order to compile the set of articles that remained. Overall, the majority of the articles (85%) were level I articles with a B/Good rating (95%). These articles were determined as comprehensive representations of the current literature and provided a worldwide view of alternative therapies being tested to reduce the incidence and progression of GDM along with promoting the health of both the mother and the infant through alternative therapies.



Chapter III: Literature Review and Analysis

In this chapter, the scholarly articles and their studies are broken down by their findings and results. The articles were grouped into alike categories and contrasted with one another to identify commonalities which decrease the incidence of GDM occurring and GDM progressing to GDM A2. The most successful factor remained to be diet and exercise, but the form and how much support given varied greatly without one result standing out more than the others.

Synthesis of Matrix

The matrix included fifteen randomized controlled studies, one cross sectional study, one mixed methods design, one observational study, one retrospective observational study, and one sociological design study. The level of evidence and quality of each research study were appraised using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool (Dang & Dearholt, 2018). The matrix includes the purpose of the study, descriptions of the samples and settings used, design including the methods and instruments used, results of the study, conclusion, strengths and limitations, as well as the Johns Hopkins evidence appraisal rating, strength, and quality. The matrix is displayed in alphabetical order.

Synthesis of Major Findings

Of the 20 scholarly articles reviewed, there are several approaches to finding lifestyle modifications in pregnant women who are diagnosed with GDM and decreasing the progression from GDM A1 to GDM A2. All of the studies incorporated some sort of diet and/or exercise routine as part of the routine pre-natal care; but did not study the effectiveness of that treatment. Three studies looked specifically at diet and exercise (Han et al., 2016; Koivusalo et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) and two introduced the intervention in the first trimester of pregnancy (Koivusalo et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015), before the diagnosis of GDM was concluded. Four



studies in this critical review looked at how physical exercise alone specifically affected the progression of GDM (Anjana et al., 2016; Cordero et al., 2015; Halse et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2017). Five studies tried to better understand the social factors affecting why women were developing GDM and/or how it affected their emotional status during their pregnancy (Colicchia et al., 2016; Engberg et al., 2018; Horsch et al., 2016; Jarvie, 2017; Singh et al., 2018). Two studies looked at the use of integrated technology and how it could encourage women to follow the routine interventions suggested (Miremberg et al., 2018; Rasekaba et al., 2018). Another two studies addressed gestational weight gain (GWG) specifically to see if weight gain could be quantified to correlation with the progression of GDM (Aiken et al., 2018; Simmons et al., 2018). The remaining four studies looked at alternative therapies, including self-reporting of home testing (Cosson et al., 2017), integration of vitamins and probiotics (Jamilian et al., 2018), individual counseling (Luoto et al., 2011), and 1:1 group care for those with GDM (Mazzoni et al., 2015). The following section will review those studies and their conclusions.

Treatment of Diabetes Type II and Gestational Diabetes

Diet and exercise have been known for a long time as an important factor in treating diabetes mellitus type II in non-pregnant women (Wexler, 2020), so it is not surprising that diet and exercise were included in the majority of studies as routine treatment. The main goal of better nutrition and exercise is weight loss and better glycemic control within the body, thus reducing the fluctuation of insulin needs throughout the day. As one will see with the results in pregnancy, most patients with diabetes type II have a difficult time losing large amounts of weight and instead try to maintain their weight (Wexler, 2020). However, a complex 4,413-person study found that dietary adjustments alone can control fasting glucose to a normal level in women with gestational diabetes and reduce their chances of developing diabetes mellitus type II



later in life (Tobias et al., 2012). As with several of the studies reviewed for GDM, diabetes type II patients are recommended to include 150 hours of moderate exercise per week (Koivusalo et al., 2015; Engberg et al., 2018; Wexler, 2020).

Diet and exercise. Two of the studies reviewed were quantitative studies with a Level I strength and a quality of B/good rating. They targeted high risk patients for developing GDM and started their intervention at 6 (Wang et al., 2015) and 13.3 weeks gestation (Koivusalo et al., 2015). Both studies excluded women with pre-existing diabetes. Koviusalo et al. (2015) tested using an early oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) and Wang, Ma, and Yang (2015) used fasting plasma glucose (FPG) in the patients noted as high risk to rule out undiagnosed diabetes mellitus type 2. This practice is recommended by the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG, 2018). These studies were conducted in Finland (Koivusalo et al., 2015) and China (Wang et al., 2015). Risk factors used for inclusion in these studies were a history of polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), GDM in a previous pregnancy, a BMI (body max index) greater than 25-30 k/m, advanced maternal age (over 35 years old at time of delivery), macrosomia in a previous pregnancy, and family history of diabetes mellitus (Wang et al., 2015).

The results of these two studies varied. Koivusalo et al. showed a 39% reduction in the progression of GDM in patients with at least a history of GDM in the past or a BMI \geq 30 k/m² (2015). In this study, women were given group counseling with a dietician and individualized visits with the study nurse at 13.3 weeks gestation, 23.1 weeks gestation, and 35.1 weeks gestation. They were encouraged to maintain moderate exercise for 150 minutes a week and given free access to a pool and exercise groups (Koivusalo et al., 2015). Although the outcome of this study is very promising, it had a small sample size of 269 Finnish women. In addition, Finland has socialized medicine, which offers free healthcare including access to health



facilities/programs. Reproducing these results in the United States may prove to be difficult as the cost of healthcare services individually ranges and can be costly for both participants in a study along with the research design; requiring significant funding to complete.

Wang, Ma, and Yang (2015) did not find that their study proved any statistical significance. This article was also a quantitative study evaluated at Level I strength and a quality of B/good rating. The participants were given courses on nutrition, physical activity, and weight gain by a physician in groups of five women or less. They also saw nutritional counselors who suggested diet and proper weight gain at 12-13 weeks gestation. Then participants resumed routine prenatal care. At 24-28 weeks, the women were given an OGTT (oral glucose tolerance test) per the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) recommendation. Both the control group and the intervention group had equal representation of their prior medical history and risk factors. Ultimately 17.16% (23/134) of the intervention group was diagnosed with GDM, whereas the control group had an incidence of 23.91% (33/138). In this P = 0.168, which did not meet the standards of statistical significance. Although this study had a small sample size it was a level I article with good quality. The researchers only provided extra intervention at one point during their pregnancy and provided no further re-education.

Halse, Wallman, Newnham, and Guelfi (2014) took a different approach and looked at women who were already diagnosed with borderline GDM in Australia and how a booklet with information and a way to record diet and exercise affect adherence to the recommendations determined for them. Once diagnosed, the participants were given booklets to assist in tracking their progress with their diet and exercise habits. These were reviewed at each prenatal visit and goals for weight gain/loss and amount of exercise were adjusted on a monthly basis. Over the



course of the program, women went from using the booklet at a rate of 92.9% (52/56) once diagnosed to 78.6% (44/56) at the end of the pregnancy (Halse et al., 2014). After using the booklet for one month, 86.4% of the women achieved their exercise goals. Only 25% of those were met by walking and 80% of them were also achieving their overall goals but only 20-30% by including more vegetables and avoiding foods high in sugar, fat, or salt. Additionally, the research demonstrated that a significant factor in diet changes that improved their health was related to participants choosing better grains and dairy products (Halse et al., 2014). The women who reported success in having a moderately active lifestyle already demonstrated similar choices and were not making any changes which consequently, continued to present positive health outcomes. Despite this uptick in adherence to the program by the third trimester, women experienced less success with the program. Halse et al., (2014) did not provide data on whether the booklet had any effect on women who progressed beyond the diagnosis of borderline GDM to requiring interventions with medicine for GDM II.

Physical exercise. All four of the studies chosen for review that focused solely on physical activity were quantitative studies with level I strength and a quality rating of B/good. They covered a wide variety of countries such as Australia (Halse et al., 2014), China (Wang et al., 2017), India (Anjana et al., 2016), and Spain (Cordero et al., 2015). All the studies also included education about proper diet as part of their routine prenatal care, except Wang et al., which was also the only article that specifically targeted overweight/obese individuals ($24 \le 28$ k/m²). Overall, the conclusions showed that the lower the activity level, the higher the incidence of GDM (Wang et al., 2017; Anjana et al., 2016; Cordero et al., 2015) and poorer glycemic control once already diagnosed with GDM (Halse et al., 2014).



The types of exercise varied from study to study. The most successful exercise was introduced at 10-12 weeks' gestation and was a mix of aerobic, stretching, and pelvic floor work twice weekly and water aerobics and stretching once a week (Cordero et al., 2015). All exercise routines were monitored by a fitness specialist and an obstetrician which proved to have a success rate of reducing GDM risk factors by 90% as evidenced by only 1% (1/100) of the intervention group developing GDM and 8.8% (13/146) of the control group developing GDM (Cordero et al., 2015). Wang et al. also introduced their cycling exercise program before 13 weeks' gestation and supervised the activity, but only offered it to high risk pregnant women. They had a success rate of a 45.8% reduction of diagnosis of GDM (2017). Anjana, et al. simply encouraged women to increase their activity by walking more and gave them all pedometers to monitor their activity (2016). They showed that there was an increase in activity once the women were exercising and had awareness that their activity level was being monitored. Of those diagnosed with GDM, 86.2% were sedentary (Anjana et al., 2016). Overall, these studies showed that higher activity levels can reduce the incidence of diagnosis of GDM and that the type of activity can be different, but the highest success is with monitored, free exercise.

The last study by Halse, Wallman, Newnham, and Guelfi looked at introducing homebased exercise once the patient was diagnosed with GDM (2014). They received a home visit to assess their activity level and what they could increase. Household work as well as job requirements were considered when evaluating current exercise level as well as participation in sports and regular exercise. Participants in the intervention group were encouraged to do at least five home based cycling exercises every week till they were 34 weeks' gestation. All groups had ongoing counseling with a diabetes educator and nutritionist (Halse et al., 2014). There was a noticeable decrease in the postprandial blood glucose numbers for the intervention group, but



only slightly better fasting blood glucose number, and no overall change in A1C levels or insulin response (Halse et al., 2014). This study was only done on 40 women in Australia and was not a large enough sample to justify the results to a larger population. Although the postprandial result is important in showing tight glycemic control, the fasting result is also valuable and was not achieved in this study (ACOG, 2018).

Gestational weight gain. Higher weight gain during pregnancy has been linked to an increased risk of developing GDM (Hedderson et al., 2010). The overall weight gain recommended during pregnancy (gestational weight gain) is based on the BMI of the women in pre-pregnancy, which is adversely affected in that the higher the weight the lower the recommendation and if the person is underweight the recommended weight gain is higher (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019). The two studies that specifically looked at gestational weight gain and its relation to GDM were both quantitative studies with level I strength and quality rating of B/good. These studies took pace over ten European centers (Simmons et al., 2018) and in the United States (Aiken et al., 2018).

Simmons et al. (2018) looked at how exactly gestational weight gain affected the rate of GDM diagnosis. It was a large randomized controlled study with post hoc analysis. This study addressed women who either did not gain enough weight or gain more than recommended. Then, the study introduced either the DALI intervention of lifestyle changes and introduction of Vitamin D, or just healthy eating and physical activity. This intervention was used to determine which intervention would bring more women to their target weight. It was found that women who had the greatest weight gain early in pregnancy were smokers and had never given birth before (Simmons et al., 2018). Those with the highest amount of weight gain between 24-28 weeks' gestation had the lowest fasting glucose. If their weight gain was even further delayed to



35-37 weeks' gestation then they had not only low fasting glucose numbers, but low one-hour and two-hour postprandial glucose levels. Therefore, the later in gestation the weight gain the less incidence of diagnosing GDM; however, the numbers were not significant enough to quantify that the lower the overall gestational weight gain, the lower the incidence of GDM (Simmons et al., 2018). There was a direct correlation noted that the lower the gestational weight gain, the lower the incidence of large for gestational age (LGA) babies being born from those mothers.

Aiken, Hone, Murphy, and Meek (2018) specifically studied how the weight gain in either early gestation, classified as 0-28 weeks, or late gestational weight gain (28-36 weeks') related to both maternal and fetal outcomes. This was a retrospective observational study. Overall higher gestational weight gain was also associated with a higher risk of a cesarean section and higher fetal weight at time of delivery. Early gestational weight gain was associated with the incidence of developing GDM, just as in the Simmons, et al. study. However, the 205 person Simmons et al. study (2018) determined that lifestyle intervention after the second trimester was not enough to elicit a positive response in change and the 546 person study by Aiken, Hone, Murphy, and Meek (2018) showed that controlled late gestational weight gain should be a priority to improve outcomes for both the mother and infant. Together these studies show that the earlier the gestational weight gain, the higher the risk for GDM, and the earlier lifestyle interventions can be introduced the better the outcome, but they should be encouraged even late in gestation.

Social factors. The United States has a population of many different religions, ethnicities, and beliefs, there are many different social factors that can affect women with GDM. Social factors have been shown to have an impact on how people deal with stress and emotions, which



consequently affects their physical and emotional health along with their ability to implement effective coping skills. This review included five studies of good quality that investigated the impact of psychological stress and the stress response on the following areas: glucose concentrations, individualized counseling on diet, physical activity, weight management, diet modifications with social support and sweet success program, as well as to determine how women with GDM perceive their treatment.

In a quantitative level I study by Collicchia et al. (2016), the researchers had the purpose of evaluating the association of social factors to the control of glucose in GDM patients. Participants for the study were recruited from high risk clinics and maternal fetal medicine clinics from the University of Pittsburg Medical Center. Surveys were administered to 111 women to collect data after diagnosis and then again at 4-12 weeks after treatment was started. Of the 111 women only 97 completed the follow up questionnaire. These surveys were done by telephone, email, mail, or in person. The data from these surveys determined that 76.5% of women achieved glycemic control. In these women, 44.6 % were treated with dietary modifications, 40.9% received glyburide, and 14.6% were using insulin for control. In weekly glucose logs that were given to the clinics, there was an average of 17.3% missing values on those logs. In those with good glycemic control, the number of missing values were lower at 12.7% and higher, 32.7%, in those with poor control (p<.001). Women with better glycemic control had a lower baseline BMI, lower fasting values on glucose tolerance testing, more likely to be married (p=.004) and have a higher household income (p=.041). These women were also less likely to have public insurance (p=.012), have a history of depression or anxiety p=.015), and exercise three or more times a week (p=.002). Collicchia et al. (2016) concluded that chaotic lifestyles, marital status, use of government assistance, and exercise were all associated



with poor glycemic control. After reviewing the modified shortened social support scale score, there was no correlation with a P value of 0.817. When women have a chaotic lifestyle, it was shown that they have difficulty adhering to GDM care and lifestyle changes to control their capillary blood glucose. This pattern was determined to lead to poor control and potential adverse outcomes for mother and the child. Social factors were also significantly correlated to have an influence glycemic control and could be modifiable in order to improve glucose control. Married women and women with a higher household income were shown to have better glycemic control (Collicchia et al., 2016). Women with a history of depression or anxiety as well as those on public insurance were more likely to have poorer glycemic control (Collicchia et al., 2016). There was no association between social support and glycemic control in this study (Collicchia et al., 2016).

Engberg et al. (2018) used a randomized control trial with 266 participants to examine the effects of a lifestyle intervention on self-rated health in participants at high risk for GDM from pregnancy to twelve months postpartum. Data was collected over six years in a maternity hospital in Finland. Participants in the intervention group (n=144) got individualized counseling on diet, physical activity, and weight management from trained staff during each trimester of pregnancy as well as six weeks, six months, and twelve months postpartum. Participants were counseled with recommendations from Nordic Nutrition that optimizes consumptions of fruits, vegetables, berries, and whole grains while lowering the intake of sugar-rich foods. They were also given a physical activity goal of 150 minutes of moderate intensity activity each week. Selfrated health surveys were self-administered for data collection. From the first trimester until the end of the study at 12 months postpartum, self-rated health varied over time (p<0.001). Selfrated health achieved higher scores in the intervention group (good 37%, quite good 49%) versus



the control group (good 27%, quite good 41%), but this data did not reach statistical significance. In this study there was no evidence that the intervention improved the self-rated health scores.

Investigating how the impact of stress exposure, psychological stress responses, and physiological stress response on the glucose concentrations during pregnancy was the crosssectional study approach that Horsch et al. (2016) completed. They approached women in the waiting room during their routine appointment at a Swiss University hospital. In this study, 203 women chose to participate in this study and completed routine GDM screening at 24-30 weeks per the guidelines of the International Association of Diabetes, ADA, and the Endocrine Society. They were asked if they have been exposed to negative pregnancy related major events and negative pregnancy unrelated events. Of these women, 39 (19.2%) were diagnosed with GDM. Those that were diagnosed were significantly older (p=.002), had a higher pre pregnancy BMI (p=.006), and had a higher current BMI (p=.008) when compared with those not diagnosed with GDM. Positive associations that worsened fasting glucose were found between the number of pregnancy related major life events and fasting glucose (p=0.26), but there was no association with pregnancy unrelated major life events (p>.22). Fasting glucose levels were higher in women who had more anxiety and depressive symptoms or a higher level of general distress (p < .030) and shorter duration of sleep (p=.009). This study proved that physiological stress responses were not associated with glucose concentrations (p>.05). Horsch et al. (2016) showed that there are indicators of stress exposure and psychological stress responses that are associated with fasting glucose concentrations and that stress exposure and current stress levels are an important risk factor for GDM development.

Moreover, it's important to acknowledge the impact of how women feel about their GDM treatment and the diagnosis of GDM as this has been indicated as an important social factor in



the treatment and prevention of GDM. Jarvie (2017) aimed to address this variable in a level I sociological design study with a sample of 27 women. These women were chosen from two hospitals in the South West of England. Women were surveyed using narrative interviews during pregnancy and post birth. Jarvie (2017) found that patients with GDM tend to have more accumulative stressors as well as daily stressors, which lead to lower self-esteem. This highlights that women dealing with this diagnosis tend to have higher stress levels that they are attempting to manage along with the perceived judgment or societal prejudices, often causing additional stress and an impact on their mental health. This judgment could seemingly cause women to feel like the general public as well as the healthcare team has stigmatized them due to their weight and diagnosis as the study correlated that mentioning weight gain increased the amount of stigma these women reported. Another primary concern that was brought forth during this study were the financial concerns, as finances were a barrier to buying the proper food to control weight gain and manage euglycemia.

Knowing the effect of managed GDM on perinatal outcomes and the experiences these women have is important in guiding treatment and care. Singh et al. (2018) used a mixedmethod design to evaluate the outcomes of women who were enrolled in the Sweet Success program in California. This study was split into two phases, the first consisting of 564 women and the second of 29 women from phase one who agreed to participate further. Instruments that were used to collect data were semi-structured interviews. Singh et al. (2018) found that Hispanic women were the most likely to have a cesarean delivery (45.3%) and utilize the emergency department (15%), whereas Southeast and East Asian women were the least likely (4.9%). Not only did ethnicity play a role in cesarean rates, but maternal age and obesity were also shown to be contributing factors. In the interviews, many women reported that with the



diagnosis of GDM they felt like a failure and did not routinely check their capillary blood glucose levels due to being busy and overwhelmed. Women reported that they felt that the healthcare team should be doing a better job of not only providing them with support but connecting them with other patients in similar situations.

Integrating technology. Two studies in this review, Miremberg et al. and Rasekaba et al. evaluated the effectiveness of glycemic control and the impact that different methods have on compliance as well as the pregnancy outcomes. These studies each used a different method to encourage tighter glycemic control. When asking women to manage their blood sugars and report capillary blood glucose levels, providers are relying on the patients to report accurate numbers to the office from their glucometer. This is an important factor in addressing GDM as a woman's glucose during pregnancy is the only way to make an accurate conclusion if their diabetes is controlled by diet alone or if medications are needed to keep the readings in range.

In the level I, high quality randomized controlled trial by Miremberg et al. (2018), 120 English and Hebrew speaking and reading women that were newly diagnosed with gestational diabetes at less than thirty-four weeks gestational age were enrolled in a study where sixty of them received a smartphone application to help with tracking their readings. The control group (n= 60) had a 66% compliance rate of tracking capillary blood glucose while the smartphone app group (n=60) had a higher rate compliance at 84%. Insulin use also ended up being lower in the smartphone app group, 8 versus 18 patients in the control group. Daily feedback and communication between patients and their multidisciplinary diabetes in pregnancy team improved not only compliance in patients, but also glycemic control. This lowered the rate of insulin treatment in patients using the application (Miremberg et al., 2018). Within the smartphone app group women reported satisfaction with the application as well as being easy for


the participants to use. The study integrated home data collection with provider feedback creating a link between the two entities (Miremberg et al., 2018).

The Rasekaba et al. study (2018) was a level I, B/good quality exploratory randomized control trial that reviewed the use of telemedicine services in GDM patients. Ninety-five women were recruited for this trial and 61 were placed in the intervention group and 34 in the control group. The intervention group used electronic devices to record their blood glucose levels that were then sent to the provider. Patients in the intervention group reached glycemic control more quickly than those in the control group (p = 0.015). Even though euglycemia (normal blood sugar) was achieved sooner in the intervention group there were no differences in the number of face-to-face appointments, cesarean section rate, or fetal complications. This study highlighted the importance of patients being accountable for entering their food choices and blood sugars in a database because it demonstrated that it creates awareness of their overall health and GDM. Due to increased awareness, patients have shown a quicker realization of their blood sugars and how to take better control of them to improve their health. The use of telemedicine or other recording methods may also help the patient achieve glycemic control sooner than if there were no interventions used as there were no known disadvantages to using this method (Rasekaba et al., 2018). One of the major strengths of this study is the outcome that women were studied from the time of their diagnosis to the time of delivery. However, this study is difficult to assess the wider implications due the participant's limited access to computers, smartphone, or internet service and small sample size which also led to uneven randomizations of the control and intervention group.

Alternative therapies for therapy. The final four studies that were compiled for this literature review evaluated other lifestyle changes that are not linked to the previous topics.



Three of these studies were level I studies, and one was a level III and they were all good quality. These include self-reporting of test results, adding vitamin D and probiotics to diets, counseling, and group versus one on one prenatal care and their effects on the management of GDM and pregnancy outcomes.

Self-monitoring blood glucose and reliably turning in logbooks to providers is a common way of tracking blood sugar numbers for management and treatment of GDM. Compliance with self-monitoring blood glucose and the reliability of patient logbooks and the associated determinants and outcomes were evaluated by Cosson et al. (2017) in a level I RTC. This trial took 94 newly diagnosed GDM patients and referred them to a diabetes management program. In this one-day program, women were taught about accurate testing, recording capillary blood glucose (CBGs), and using a postprandial alarm. Information was taken from the logbooks and downloaded from their glucometers. After analyzing patients for 13+3 days, 91 participants 78% performed > 80 % of required pre-prandial tests, and 65.9% performed > 80% of postprandial only, and 61.5% performed > 80% of all required tests. Timing of postprandial testing is important in getting accurate readings, only 46.5% of women performed the tests >80% of the time within a 100-140-minute postprandial range. Women who had inadequate timing of the postprandial testing had a higher baseline HbA1c and a higher HbA1c at delivery, despite more frequent insulin (p < 0.01). This study highlights the importance of patients reporting actual CBGs that they are receiving with their meters and was emphasized as a goal in this study. The research concluded that 23.1% of women had < 90% of matching values in the logs and meters. It was also concluded that poor compliance with testing was associated with elevated risk for preeclampsia (p= 0.049). Self-monitoring blood glucose is an integral part of GDM care.



Consequently, patients that had a family history of diabetes were more likely to be noncompliant.

Group care is an emerging process for pregnancy and therefore seemed important to address in this literature review as a possible contributing factor in improving patient's health with GDM when most other studies focus on traditional one on one care. Mazzoni et al. (2015) completed an observational study of 165 patients (62 in group care and 103 in traditional care) to compare group care to traditional single patient care. Women who chose to participate in group care had less prenatal visits and less OB (obstetrical) triage problems. The management of their GDM was also affected, they required less antenatal diabetic medications (like insulin), and improved glucose tolerance in the postpartum period. It was reported in the study that women participating in group care had higher rates of increased activity and diet modifications. The peer-to-peer interactions were also shown to enhance their interactions and developed more frequent and in-depth education. Group care in this situation demonstrated a decreased transition to A2GDM and better glucose tolerance postpartum without affecting obstetric or neonatal outcomes.

This review evaluated one study exploring the effectiveness of vitamin D and probiotic supplementation on the metabolic status of women with GDM. Vitamin D deficiency has been previously correlated with an increased risk for GDM as significantly lower concentrations of vitamin D have been reported in patients with GDM (Jamilian et al., 2018). Evidence also suggested that there is a change in the microbiota composition during pregnancy. Probiotics produce antimicrobial substances in the gastrointestinal tract by altering the composition of the microbiota. The rationale being, probiotics may increase the gene expression of vitamin D receptors in the intestinal cells (Jamilian et al., 2018). In this level I RTC, Jamilian et al. (2018)



studied 87 first time mothers that were diagnosed with GDM. The women were matched by BMI and age and then randomly assigned into three different groups (probiotic and vitamin D, probiotic only, and placebo). There were no significant changes in the macro & micro-nutrients in any other groups. The vitamin D and probiotic combination group significantly reduced fasting plasma glucose (95.4 to 83.1) as well as serum insulin levels, and the homeostasis model of assessment-insulin resistance. The probiotic supplementation group also showed a reduction in fasting glucose (96.6 to 86.5), serum insulin levels, and the homeostasis model of assessment-insulin resistance. There were no reported changes in other metabolic parameters. This research concluded that both vitamin D and probiotic supplementation showed significant improvements in glycemic control in women with GDM (Jamillian et al., 2018).

Lifestyle modifications are known to be a complementary therapy for treatment of GDM, but there are no adequate trials on the primary prevention of GDM. Luoto et al. (2011) aimed to examine whether incorporating intensified individual counseling about lifestyle changes into routine prenatal visits could prevent the development of GDM as well as macrosomia. This sample screened 2271 women, of those 399 were inclined in the study (219 in the intervention group and 180 in the control). During this cluster RTC the intervention of counseling was done at 8-12 weeks until 37 weeks gestational age. Counseling included discussion about weight gain, physical activity, and diet. If a patient was diagnosed with GDM at 26-28 week screening they were referred to specialists for further education. It was found that there were no significant differences in the groups at the baseline or 26-28-week glucose tolerance measurements. Data also showed that in women who were diagnosed with GDM there were no significant differences in total gestational weight gain, preeclampsia, or use of diabetic medications. Babies that were born to mothers in the intervention group did have a lower average weight (3,532 g vs. 3,659 g,



p=0.035). Furthermore, the data reports that the birthweight per gestational age continued to be significant after taking into account the clinic, nurse, maternal age, education, sex, parity, prepregnancy BMI, gestational weight gain, and smoking (p=0.024) (Luoto et al., 2011). In comparison of gestational age in infants, the control group had a higher rate of 19.7% compared to the intervention group at 12.1% (p=0.042). The results are inconclusive on the effectiveness of controlling or reducing the number of patients with GDM. It was noted however, that there are beneficial effects of lifestyle counseling in women who adhered to education and had a lower incidence of GDM as well as macrosomia.

Summary

Overall, there are many factors that lead into the success of a woman either making lifestyle changes early in pregnancy to prevent the progression of GDM entirely, or later in pregnancy so that they do not need medication to control their GDM. Lifestyle interventions requiring diet and exercise were most successful when they were monitored closely and offered for free (Anjana et al., 2016; Cordero et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017; Koivusalo et al., 2015). When small classes are offered to high risk women for GDM early in their pregnancy and then routine prenatal care is resumed, they reported have low compliance for the lifestyle changes (Wang et al., 2015). When lifestyle modifications were introduced at the beginning of pregnancy and the majority of the pregnancy weight gain was later in pregnancy, they were reported to have a greater chance of reducing the incidence of GDM. Moreover when lifestyle modifications were introduced in the third trimester of pregnancy and the overall gestational weight gain was reduced, it still helped to control postprandial glucose levels and reduce the incidence of fetal weight being large for gestational age at birth (Aiken et al., 2018; Simmons et al., 2018; Halse et al., 2014). The reduction in postprandial glucose levels is important for reducing the incidence of



medication use for GDM control, but the effect on fasting glucose was not mentioned, and per ACOG medicine should be introduced when fasting glucose is not controlled adequately (ACOG, 2018). Those who were able to make diet changes were more inclined to make better choices of the types of whole grains they consumed over lowering their intake of sweets and increasing their intake of vegetables (Halse et al., 2014). Of those who made physical activity changes, increasing their daily steps/ walking more frequently did not increase their caloric usage unless it was monitored and tracked by the provider (Halse et al., 2014; Anjana et al., 2016). Overall, it was determined that the most effective programs involved both diet and exercise to achieve better glycemic control and had close monitoring of both the exercise and diet offering specialist along with 1:1 care.

There are other alternatives to treating gestational diabetes as well as social factors that affect how well a person can or will follow the recommended lifestyle changes in order to improve their health and the health of their child. Their existing personal social support itself did not affect how well women were able to keep good glycemic control, but social factors did affect their compliance and some of those could be modified (Colicchia et al., 2016). Women with GDM also reported having a low overall rating of their health, even when able to change their diet and exercise habits (Engberg et al., 2018). Women reported feeling judged by their peers and clinicians, which lowered their self-esteem and demonstrated an impact on their mental health (Jarvie, 2017). Women also reported financial concerns when trying to buy healthier food choices and wanting more connection with other women suffering from GDM. The stress of lower self-esteem and past trauma related to pregnancies was shown to increase the risk of developing GDM (Horsch et al., 2016). When having negative feelings about oneself and the GDM diagnosis, the literature concluded that this leads to poor compliance with checking blood



sugars, which consequently makes it harder to determine if the patient is controlled (Singh et al., 2018). Most patients also requested more group care, which was shown to result in less progression of GDM (Mazzoni et al., 2015). Those who have poor compliance also demonstrated worse A1C results; therefore, poor compliance with testing is directly related to poor control of GDM and higher use of medicine (Cosson et al., 2017). When women had increased access to technology or telemedicine such as a smart phone app or a database were they could enter their testing results for food choices with feedback from their clinician, they had better glycemic control and less need of medicine to control their GDM (Miremberg et al., 2018; Rasekaba et al., 2018). Ongoing counseling was also shown to be inconclusive in GDM patients (Luoto et al., 2011). However, the inclusion of Vitamin D and a probiotic were shown to be successful additions to lifestyle interventions of diet and exercise in the reduction of the progression of GDM to GDM II (Jamilian et al., 2018)



Chapter IV: Discussion, Implications and Conclusions

The purpose for this review was to explore the available literature for efficacious lifestyle interventions to manage Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) and possibly decrease the progression from GDM A1 to GDM A2, as well as reduce delivery complications. This review investigates 20 scholarly articles that were chosen using the John Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal Tool and their impact on GDM. Throughout the literature review, many implications for midwifery practice and the opportunities for further research were uncovered. This chapter will discuss the implications of GDM research to midwifery and opportunities for continued research. The integration of Orem's Self-Care Deficit theory in contemplation of the lifestyle interventions in reducing progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2 will conclude the chapter.

Literature Synthesis

Overall, the studies reviewed several methods to determine how to best support women to achieve glycemic control through diet and exercise lifestyle modifications. When looking at the contributing factors for women's diet and exercise patterns, it was determined that social support (Colicchia et al., 2016) and stress levels (Horsch et al., 2016) did not negatively affect glucose readings or have a significant correlation. However, women did report that financial burdens inhibited them from being able to comply with the recommended diets (Jarvie, 2017). Women also reported that they lowered their personal viewing of their health with the diagnosis of GDM, but when participating in exercise and diet modifications they did not improve their own views on their health (Engberg et al., 2018). Specific counseling for women with GDM was inconclusive (Luoto, et al., 2011). When women were asked what they wanted from providers they requested more support and connection with other GDM patients (Singh et al., 2018). In response to women's request for more support with a GDM diagnosis across the literature



review, it was substantiated by research that group therapy was shown to reduce the number of prenatal visits in GDM patients as well as increase the adherence to diet and exercise recommendations, largely reducing the progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2 (Mazzoni et al., 2015). This could be concluded that social factors such as peer support, a sense of connection and improved self-esteem from feeling aligned with others during a stressful situation were indicative of improving a patient's health.

Another study determined a method to reduce the progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2 by adding 50,000 IU of Vitamin D every 2 weeks and a probiotic that was introduced after the diagnosis of GDM. This approach was correlated to decrease the overall fasting glucose of patients, therefore reducing the incidence of needing insulin (Jamilian et al., 2018). Overall, changing social factors alone would not improve GDM outcomes but the initiation of Vitamin D and probiotics, coupled with group therapy could potentially significantly increase the progression of GDM A1 to GDM A2 and provide more holistic support to these women.

In comparison, research that attempted to determine the effectiveness of exercise alone as a treatment for GDM versus combining diet and exercise together, yielded mixed results. One study found that women with a GDM diagnosis are more sedentary, thus indicating the need for increased exercise (Anjana et al., 2016). Overall, the research studies concluded that adjusting diet and exercise is important throughout pregnancy but when the majority of pregnancy weight gain is in the third trimester, it has been found to increase the incidence of GDM diagnosis (Simmons et al., 2018). Research demonstrated that when exercise and diet are changed solely in the third trimester and weight gain is reduced, it has been found to have positive results on the research (Aiken et al., 2018). Several studies reviewed the implications of diet and exercise throughout pregnancy and the impact on the incidence and progression of GDM. Two studies



that only monitored exercise had positive results of the reduction of GDM by 90% Cordero et al., 2015) and 50% (Wang et al., 2017). Two additional studies gave ongoing instruction and recommendations for exercise throughout pregnancy and one had a reduction in GDM by 39% (Koivusalo et al., 2015) and the other had inconclusive results (Wang et al., 2015). Another study highlighted that when exercise without diet recommendations were given after the diagnosis of GDM, only the postprandial glucose numbers were improved but overall insulin tolerance was not, and the effect directly on GDM progression was not specific (Halse et al., 2014). However, during the cases where strict instruction on diet and exercise were provided, it was noted that women were likely to improve their choices of grains, fruits, and dairy but not improve their exercise, vegetable choices, or reduction in sugars (Han et al., 2016). Whether lifestyle modifications are introduced at the beginning of pregnancy or after diagnosis, there are clear benefits on pregnancy outcomes (Jarvie, 2017). The evidence is clear that diet and exercise will reduce the incidence of the diagnosis of GDM when initiated at the beginning of pregnancy and when initiated after the diagnosis, it will reduce the incidence of GDM A1 to GDM A2; but the best techniques for monitoring and adherence to diet and exercise recommendations is not clear and depends on the resources available.

In reviewing the integration of technology in midwifery or medical practice for the treatment of GDM, it is evident that technology can assist both the provider and the patient. In the research study that was reviewed, several challenges were documented in the current literature: the lack of variance in reporting the correct numbers, lack of testing, and testing outside of the suggested time. Women were asked to keep a log of their glucose monitors to verify their reporting however the reliability of this data is questionable since the literature indicated that women were testing outside the suggested times (Cosson et al., 2017).



Furthermore, women who had higher variances and less frequent testing had higher needs for insulin and a higher risk of developing pre-eclampsia. When using a smart phone application woman reported satisfaction with the application and adhered better to the dietary and exercise recommendations, as well as monitor their blood sugars at the appropriate times and more often (Aiken et al., 2018). The application used directly reported the results to the provider for feedback. Patients did reach euglycemia at a faster rate when utilizing telemedicine instead of waiting till their next office visit (Rasekaba et al., 2018). Thus, the importance of instant feedback, using technology, was reported to improve the outcomes of GDM patients.

Current Trends and Gaps in the Literature

The studies that were used in this literature review specifically addressed methods to initiate change and improve outcomes for women with GDM and their babies. Although this method is effective for performing a scientific study, it does not capture the holistic picture of an individual and consider that a multitude of factors may indicate the best outcomes for the patient and her baby. The highly successful studies that were reviewed had wide access to resources and education. Several studies provided access to a gym or other local free programs, some even with fitness coaches on hand. This close supervision and universal access to health care services is generally not feasible in the United States as the resources are typically limited based on insurance, location of services or require significant personal cost.

Current successful tactics. A limitation that was determined in this literature review was the absence of a systematic study that studied areas around the world with the lowest incidence of GDM and compared the tactics they utilize for more effective treatment. This could be highly beneficial to identifying practices that can be generalized without having to invent a new form of education; thus, reducing time and resources spent on finding a new solution when one already



exists. If a working solution is found, then further study can be done to expand those programs to areas with different resources. GDM is not a new challenge woman face during pregnancy and creatively reviewing current practice to implement more effective strategies may open new doors for opportunity.

Access to care. The types of healthcare in existence globally were also not mentioned in the studies, nor were the access to care. Despite GDM being an identified world problem, there are several different types of healthcare in the world which create different inequalities of care for populations and individuals (Dawkins et al., 2020). The literature review also did not mention the relativity of appointments kept and outcomes for mothers with GDM. Appointment availability, frequency and access to care is data that could be brought to local or larger government agencies to support the need for healthcare supplementation for patients with this disease. Increasing global access to care and recognizing the barriers could also reduce social inequalities and better the outcomes for all women, not just those diagnosed or at both mothers with high risk for GDM and their babies.

Implication for Midwifery Practice

One of the hallmarks of midwifery is to utilize current scientific evidence in clinical practice (ACNM, 2012). This literature review demonstrated areas for improvement for women and their babies when at risk or already diagnosed with GDM. Exercise and diet, including the addition of a probiotic and Vitamin D, should not only be recommended by midwives for control of GDM, but it should be recommended in the first trimester for high risk patients to achieve maximum benefit. It should not only be recommended and reviewed, but the patient should be given a method to track their diet and exercise, either through technology or through a log that the provider can review during their prenatal visit and continue to offer positive reinforcement



and alterations to choices. Logs can be made digital for ease of use for both the patient and the clinician so that timely feedback can be given which was shown to be a contributing factor in tracking health changes effectively. Specific diet and exercise should be tailored to the patient in order to achieve better overall glycemic control and reduce gestational weight gain in the last trimester of pregnancy. Group visits can also improve glycemic control and reduce the progression of GDM AI to GDM A2 along with offering women support that was indicated to be needed for not just their physical health but emotional and mental well-being.

Recommendations for Future Research

After reviewing the current research, there are numerous areas that would enumerate the literature and need continued study to develop recommendations for managing prenatal care and gestational diabetes. Further research and awareness could decrease the stigma of GDM and minimize the occurrence and/or reoccurrence of the disease. Koivusalo et al. (2015) suggests that, in order to reveal the true effects of lifestyle changes, bigger sample sizes are needed with multiple ethnicities in order to be more generalizable as current research does not capture the differences across multiple ethnicities and cultures as this disease is not specific to one population but a global pandemic.

In the instance of gestational weight gain, further methods are needed to explore weight control in GDM. Limiting further weight gain can be a benefit to GDM and stable weight after the diagnosis results in better maternal and neonatal outcomes (Aiken et al., 2018). More research is also needed to identify targets of weight gain that are appropriate for GDM in women who are already at higher risk of pregnancy complications, compared to those who have a normal glucose tolerance in pregnancy. Research conducted by Simmons et al. (2018) suggests that there



is a need for more randomized controlled trials in the first trimester to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms behind a metabolic trajectory in these women.

In order to improve compliance and control of GDM with lifestyle changes, the literature review determined that providers need to improve the motivation in women with GDM to develop better compliance with self-monitoring. Adjusting patient education and being more supportive in the diagnosis and management was demonstrated to increase patient empowerment, self-esteem and thus overall health as social factors play an important role in the control of GDM and the stigma of the diagnosis. Additionally, adequately interpreting the readings of blood sugars is important for developing accurate readings which can impact treatment and patient progress. The literature review also indicated that the assessment of glucose control can be improved by having a process as well as guidance for clinical management by using the memory from the glucose meters (Cosson et al., 2017). Research conducted by Jarvie (2017) suggests that offering women collaborative care can help with management of GDM. Collaborative care and group prenatal care have improved models of care that result in enhanced screening for diabetes and a decrease in resources that are utilized in prenatal and antenatal care (Mazzoni et al., 2015). However, more research is still needed on collaborative and group prenatal care to understand all the benefits.

One of the major recommendations throughout all of the research is that larger sample groups are needed, as well as further studies, in order to prove the efficacy of the integration of these changes to increase the glycemic control in women with gestational diabetes. Due to the complexity of GDM and multiple targets for interventions to improve glycemic control, repeat studies are needed in all aspects with larger sample sizes. These larger studies could elucidate whether these findings are applicable across the world. Results throughout the research show that



preventing GDM in women who have multiple risk factors may be challenging, but it is important to find effective ways to reduce the incidence and manage control in high risk women. Continued research in lifestyle changes will help to ensure more information and results of interventions.

Integration of the Self-Deficit Theory

The findings in this review have shown the benefits of lifestyle changes and interventions in improving the outcomes of GDM and reducing the progress from GDM A1 to GDM A2. For women to be able to incorporate these lifestyle changes and improve their outcomes, they need to approach their treatment from a holistic perspective and to take an active role in their care/health. Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory will help direct the responsibility of the patient and the responsibility for their own health with the help of nursing staff and education about lifestyle changes. The Self-Care Deficit Theory was developed by Dorthea E. Orem, as she had the desire to understand the developments that she observed in order to improve nursing practice (Smith & Parker, 2010). Reviewing this model shows there are different ways of looking at a specific circumstance and implementing changes. This overarching theory offers a blueprint for nurse and patient roles, composed of three different underlying theories; theory of self-care, theory of self-care deficit, and theory of nursing systems. (Smith & Parker, 2010). The simplicity of this theory makes it generalizable to an ample array of patients, including pregnant women. It is indicated that health care providers, especially nurse midwives, give patients the information they need for them to take an active role in their health.

The involvement of pregnant women in their own care has clinically significant positive outcomes of their care and the growth of the patient. Patient engagement is consistent with Dorthea Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory; patients should be responsible for their health and



take an active role. Orem's theory suggests that patients should have knowledge of potential health problems in order to promote self-care behaviors (Orem, 2001). By knowing what lifestyle interventions are best, can help manage GDM, providers can give women this information and guide them in the direction they need to go but leave the plan of action up to them. Encouraging the patient to make these lifestyle changes will help them be more adherent to the plan to improve their health.

This review of lifestyle changes in women with GDM is focused on allowing patients to take control of their health by making them aware of small and achievable changes that they can make to help with the prevention and treatment of GDM. Nurse midwives can give patients all the resources available to them, but in order to successfully implement the changes, patients need to be actively involved in their own care and take initiative. Due to Orem's theory being based on self-care, this model can help facilitate the successful implementation of lifestyle changes in these women and provides an ideal conceptual framework for this review.

Conclusion

This critical review has identified effective lifestyle interventions to manage GDM and decrease in the progression from GDM A1 to GDM A2, as well as a reduction in delivery complications. In using the Johns Hopkins Research Evidence Appraisal tool, 20 scholarly articles were analyzed to identify various lifestyle interventions and their significance. The review found that diet and exercise, physical activity, social factors, integrating technology, gestational weight gain, and alternative therapies show promising results in the management of GDM and a decrease in progression from GDM A1 to GDM A2, resulting in a reduction in delivery complications.



Nurse midwives providing prenatal and antenatal care are in an optimal position to educate women on various lifestyle changes and promote maternal well -being to be beneficial to women and pregnant women. The presence of GDM does not just have an effect during the pregnancy, but can have long-term health effects as well, leading to negative outcomes for the mother and child. As of 2009, the incidence of GDM was approximately 7% in the United States and it continues to rise (ACOG, 2018). Pregnancy may be the first time a patient seeks medical care and the impact providers have in patients can influence the care for the rest of their lives. Therefore, nurse-midwives should remain current on research in the field and trends in healthcare, as well as effective interventions. This will help regarding GDM and limiting progression to help promote the health of mothers and their babies. In order to implement these lifestyle interventions to improve health, nurse-midwives should use Orem's Self-Care Deficit Theory to facilitate the achievement of the patient's goals and manage GDM with their own will and lifestyle changes.

Patients can be hesitant to implement changes and come to terms with the diagnosis of GDM, but these alternative lifestyle changes and preconception education found in this review, can be beneficial. The findings of this review would be useful to nurse midwives, OBGYNs, Physicians, Nurse Practitioners, and other medical providers in order to recommend appropriate lifestyle interventions for management of progression of GDM. The most important contribution of the articles researched, and the conclusion of this literature review is in understanding the effectiveness of the lifestyle changes and factors in the prevention of the progression of GDM and adverse delivery complications.



ACNM. (2012, Dec). *Core competencies for basic midwifery practice*. Retrieved from American College of Nurse-Midwives:

https://www.midwife.org/acnm/files/ACNMLibraryData/UPLOADFILENAME/0000000 00050/Core%20Comptencies%20Dec%202012.pdf

- ACOG. (2018, Feb). *Practice bulletin: Clinical management guidelines for obstetriciangynecologists: Gestational diabetes*. Retrieved from American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists: https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Practice-Bulletins/Committee-on-Practice-Bulletins-Obstetrics/Gestational-Diabetes-Mellitus
- Aiken, C. E., Hone, L., Murphy, H. R., & Meek, C. L. (2018). Improving outcomes in gestational diabetes: Does gestational weight gain matter? *Diabetic Medicine*, 36(2), 167-176. doi:10.1111/dme.13767
- Anjana, R. M., Sudha, V., Lakshmipriya, N., Anitha, C., Unnikrishnan, R., Bhavadharini, B., & .
 . Mohan, V. (2016). Physical activity patterns and gestational diabetes outcomes The wings project. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 116*, 253-262.
 doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2016.04.041
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019, Jan 17). *How much weight should you gain during pregnancy?* Retrieved from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/pregnancy-weight-gain.htm
- Colicchia, L. C., Parviainen, K., & Chang, J. (2016). Social contributors to glycemic control in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *128*(6), 1333-1339.
 doi:10.1097/aog.00000000001740



- Cordero, Y., Mottola, M. F., Vargas, J., Blanco, M., & Barakat, R. (2015). Exercise is associated with a reduction in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 47(7), 1328-1333. doi:10.1249/mss.000000000000547
- Cosson, E., Baz, B., Gary, F., Pharisien, I., Nguyen, M., Sandre-Banon, D., & . . . Valensi, P. (2017). Poor reliability and poor adherence to self-monitoring of blood glucose are common in women with gestational diabetes mellitus and may be associated with poor pregnancy outcomes. *Diabetes Care, 40*, 1181-1186. doi:10.2337/dc17-0369
- Dall, T. M., Yang, W., Halder, P., Pang, B., Massoudi, M., Wintfeld, N., & Hogan, P. F. (2014).
 The economic burden of elevated blood glucose levels in 2012: Diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes, gestational diabetes mellitus, and prediabetes. *Diabetes Care*, *37*(12), 3172-3179. doi:10.2337/dc14-1036
- Dang, D., & Dearholt, D. (2018). Johns Hopkins nursing evidence-based practice model and guidelines (Vol. 3). Indianapolis: Sigma Thea Tau International.
- Dawkins, B., Renwick, C., Ensor, T., Shinkins, B., Jayne, D., & Meads, D. (2020). What factors affect patients' access to healthcare? Protocol for an overview of systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*, 9(1), 18. doi: 10.1186/s13643-020-1278-z
- *Diabetes*. (2020). Retrieved from World Health Organization: https://www.who.int/health-topics/diabetes#tab=tab_1
- Engberg, E., Stach-Lempinen, B., Rono, K., Kautiainen, H., Eriksson, J., & Koivusalo, S.
 (2018). A randomized lifestyle intervention preventing gestational diabetes: effects on self-rated health from pregnancy to postpartum. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *39*(1), 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0167482X.2017.1286642



- Gatlin, P. K., & Insel, K. (2015). Severity of type 2 diabetes, cognitive function, and self-care. *Bilogical research for nursing*, *17*(5), 540-548. doi:10.1177/1099800414557565
- Halse, R. E., Wallman, K. E., Newnham, J. P., & Guelfi, K. J. (2014). Home-based exercise training improves capillary glucose profile in women with gestational diabetes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 46(9), 1702-1709. doi:10.1249/mss.000000000302
- Han, S., Middleton, P. F., Tran, T. S., & Crowther, C. A. (2016). Assessing use of a printed lifestyle intervention tool by women with borderline gestational diabetes and their achievement of diet and exercise goals: A descriptive study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 16*(42), 44. doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0825-z
- Harrison, A. L., Shields, N., Taylor, N. F., & Frawley, H. C. (2016). Exercise improves glycemic control in women diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus: A systemic review.
 Journal of Physiotherapy, 62(4), 188-196. doi:10.1016/j.jphys.2016.08.003
- Hedderson, M., Gunderson, E., & Ferrara, A. (2010). Gestational weight gain and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstetrics and gynecology*, *115*(3), 597-604. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3180899/
- Horsch, A., Kang, J., Vial, J., Ehlert, U., Borghini, A., Marques-Vidal, P., & . . . Puder, J. (2016).
 Stress exposure and psychological stress responses are related to glucose concentrations during pregnancy. *British Journal of Health Psychology, 21*, 712-729.
 doi:10.1111/bjhp.12197
- Jamilian, M., Amirani, E., & Asemi, Z. (2018). The effects of vitamin D and probiotic cosupplementation on glucose homeostasis, inflammation, oxidative stress and pregnancy outcomes in gestational diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*, pp.2098-2105. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2018.10.028



- Jarvie, R. (2017). Lived experiences of women with co-existing BMI ≥ and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Midwifery*, 49, 79-86. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2016.12.009
- Koivusalo, S. B., Rönö, K., Klemetti, M. M., Roine, R. P., Lindström, J., Erkkola, M., & . . .
 Stach-Lempinen, B. (2015). Gestational diabetes mellitus can be prevented by lifestyle intervention: The Finnish gestational diabetes prevention study (RADIEL). *Diabetes Care, 39*(1), 24-30. doi:10.3390/nu10111568
- Lefkovits, Y., Stewart, Z., & Murphy, H. (2019). Gestational diabetes. *Medicine*, 47(2), 114-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mpmed.2018.11.006
- Luoto, R., Kinnunen, T. I., Aittasalo, M., Kolu, P., Raitanen, J., Ojala, K., & . . . Tulokas, S. (2011). Primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus and large-for-gestational-age newborns by lifestyle counseling: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Medicine*, 8(5), p.e1001036. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001036
- Mazzoni, S., Hill, P., Webster, K., Heinrichs, G., & Hoffman, C. (2015). Group prenatal care for women with gestational diabetes. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, 29(17), 2852-2856. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2015.1107541
- Miremberg, H., Ben-Ari, T., Betzer, T., Raphaeli, H., Gassnier, R., Barda, G., . . . Weiner, E. (2018). The impact of daily smartphone-based feedback system among women with gestational diabetes on compliance, glycemic control, satisfaction, and pregnancy outcome: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 218(4), 453.e1 453.e7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.044

 Nursing Theory. (2016). Self-care deficit theory. Retrieved November 19, 2018, from Nursing Theory: http://nursing-theory.org/theories-and-models/orem-self-care-deficit-theory.php
 Orem, D. (2001). Nursing: Concepts of practice (6th ed.). St. Louis: Mosby, Inc.



- Plows, J., Stanley, J., Baker, P., Reynolds, C., & Vickers, M. (2018). The pathophysiology of gestational diabetes mellitus. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19(11), 3342. doi:10.3390/ijms19113342
- Rasekaba, T., Furler, J., Young, D., Liew, D., Gray, K., Blackberry, I., & W., L. (2018). Using technology to support care in gestational diabetes mellitus: Quantitative outcomes of an exploratory randomized control trial of adjunct telemedicine for gestational diabetes mellitus (TeleGDM). *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice, 142*, 276-285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabetes.2018.05.049
- Shellhaas, C., Conrey, E., Crane, D., Lorenz, A., Wapner, A., Oza-Frank, R., & Bouchard, J. (2016). The Ohio gestational diabetes postpartum care learning collaborative:
 Development of a quality improvement initiative to improve systems of care for women. *Maternal Child Health Journal, 20*(1), 71-80. doi:10.1007/s10995-016-2170-2
- Simmons, D., Devlieger, R., Assche, A. V., Galjaard, S., Corcoy, R., Adelantado, J., . . . Poppel, M. (2018). Association between gestational weight gain, gestational diabetes risk, and obstetric outcomes: A randomized controlled trial post hoc analysis. *Nutrients, 10*(11), 1568. doi:10.3390/nu10111568. doi:10.3390/nu10111568
- Singh, H., Soyoltulga, K., Fong, T., & Billimek, J. (2018). Delivery outcomes, emergency room visits, and psychological aspects of gestational diabetes results from a community hospital multiethnic cohort. *The Diabetes Educator*, 44(5), 465-474, https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0145721718795589
- Smith, M. C., & Parker, M. E. (2015). *Nursing theories and nursing practice* (4th ed.). F.A. Davis Company.



- Tobias, D., Hu, F., & Zhang, C. (2012). Healthful dietary patterns and type 2 diabetes risk among women with a history of gestational diabetes. *Archives of internal medicine*, *172*(20), 1566-1522. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2012.3747
- Wang, C., Guelfi, K., & Yang, H. (2016). Exercise and its role in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Chronic Diseases and Transitional Medicine*, 2(4), 208-214, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cdtm.2016.11.006
- Wang, C., Wei, Y., Zhang, Y., Xu, Q., Sun, Y., Su, S., . . . Yang, H. (2017). A randomized clinical trial of exercise during pregnancy to prevent gestational diabetes mellitus and improve pregnancy outcome in overweight and obese pregnant women. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 216(4), 340-351. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2017.01.037
- Wang, S., Ma, J., & Yang, H. (2015). Lifestyle intervention for gestational diabetes mellitus prevention: A cluster-randomized controlled study. *Chronic Diseases and Translational Medicine*, 1(3), 169-174. doi:10.1016/j.cdtm.2015.09.001
- Wexler, D. (2020, Jan). Initial management of blood glucose in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Retrieved from UpToDate: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/initialmanagement-of-blood-glucose-in-adults-with-type-2-diabetesmellitus?search=diabetes%20mellitus%20type%202&source=search_result&selectedTitl e=1~150&usage_type=default&display_rank=1#H6062318



Appendix 1	- Literature	Review	Matrix
rr · ··			

Source: Aiken, C. E., Hone, L., Murphy, H. R., & Meek, C. L. (2018). Improving outcomes in gestational diabetes: Does gestational weight gain matter? *Diabetic Medicine*, *36*(2), 167-176. doi:10.1111/dme.13767

u01.10.111/une.10/0/				
Purpose/ Sample	Design (Method/ Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations	
Purpose: To assess whether total gestational weight gain during pregnancy, 0-36 weeks (wks), early gestational weight gain (EGWG) (0- 28 wks), or late gestational weight gain (LGWG) (28-36 wks) are associated with maternal-fetal outcomes. Weight control may improve metabolic health and reduce pregnancy complications. Sample/Setting: 546 pregnancies, 417 had pre-pregnancy weight documented. Total gestational weight gain (TGWG) in 376, EGWG in 129, LGWG in 144, 36 wks weight Women with a diagnosis of GDM who delivered a singleton infant ≥ 24 wks at the Cambridge Universities NHS foundation trust between 10/2014 and 3/2017. The first eligible pregnancy was included when women had	Design: Retrospective observational study Instruments: Participants identified using EHR. Accuracy of diagnosis and treatment was confirmed by hand searching each record. Measurements of maternal weight and height measured at antenatal booking in the community, pre pregnancy BMI was based on this (8-12 wks). If no booking weight self-reported weight used (approx 2% of cases). Weighed every 2 wks at the clinic on regularly calibrated scales. Offered GDM screening with random plasma glucose at antenatal booking. If results were >7.0 mmol/l or had prev dx of GDM they were offered	Results: TGWG was negatively associated with pre-pregnancy BMI (beta coefficient -0.79: CI -0.89 to -0.68. p,0.001) and positively associated with infant birth weight z-score (adjusted for sex and gestation, beta coefficient 0.02; CI 0.01 to 0.04, p<0.001. TGWG was associated with fetal growth both positively (LGA p<0.001) and negatively (SGA p<0.05) Increased TGWG increased likelihood of c/s (p <0.01) and reduced likelihood of SVD (p <0.001) EGWG is important for development of GDM but not associated with the studied pregnancy outcomes. LGWG was associated with increased odds of	Strengths: Protocols for initiation of GDM treatment, dose titration and delivery planning were done by a single clinical team which minimized unintentional variation within the cohort. Sample size of 546 was sufficient to draw useful conclusions. Approved as a service evaluation, IRB granted approval for further analysis of data. Limitations: Self-reported data. Only done in patients who had a hx of GDM	



multiple eligible pregnancies.	75g OGTT within a week. Participants without pre-existing GDM were screened at 24-28 wks with	LGA ($p < 0.05$) and instrumental delivery ($p < 0.01$) Women who avoided substantial weight	
Level of evidence:	50g OGTT. Dx was	gain after GDM dx	
Johns Hopkins	based on IADPSG	had 0.7 mmol/l	
Evidence	criteria.	lower postnatal 2-h	
Appraisal: Quantitative	After dx of GDM	glucose and needed	
Strength: Level II	seen every 2-4 wks	¹ / ₂ the insulin/day at	
Quality: B/ Good	and encouraged to	36 wks compared to	
	monitor CBGs QID.	those with higher	
	Given dietary advice,	LGWG.	
	advised to follow a	Women with >	
	low glycemic index	LGWG had higher	
	diet, and avoid	postnatal glucose	
	excessive weight	(<i>p</i> <0.05 for fasting	
	gain. Hyperglycemia	and 2h OGTT) The	
	despite modifications	difference in fasting	
	received metformin	concentrations was	
	or insulin per NICE	(0.1 mmol/l).	
	guidelines.	Difference was more	
	Data was analyzed as	marked in 2 h	
	continuous variables	OGTT, 5.4 mmol/1	
	to avoid	(9/mg/dl)	
	categorization of	Conclusion:	
	quantitative data.	Controlling GWG	
	Weight gain was	should be a priority	
	assessed per IOM	CDM to optimize	
	guidelines and as a $\frac{9}{2}$ of goin from	GDM to optimize	
	% of gain from	This will also	
	programany woight	improve the	
	Associations were	maternal nostnatal	
	assessed using	alucose Waiting	
	multivariate logistic	until after dy of	
	regression and	GDM is not too late	
	results presented as	to offer lifestyle	
	odds ratios They	interventions to	
	were considered	improve pregnancy	
	statistically	and maternal	
	significant at an	outcomes.	
	alpha level of 0.05.		
		1	



Author Recommendations: Need to explore methods of weight control in GDM. It is not too late at dx of GDM to benefit from limiting further GWG. A stable weight after GDM dx had the best maternal & neonatal outcomes. Further work is needed to identify targets that are appropriate for GDM who are already at higher risk of pregnancy complications compared to normal glucose tolerance during pregnancy.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Weight control in women with GDM is important but controlling weight prior to pregnancy is a better way to prevent GDM. When controlling weight during pregnancy after the dx of GDM patients can have better control of the CBGs and management of their diagnosis.



Source: Anjana, R. M., Sudha, V., Lakshmipriya, N., Anitha, C., Unnikrishnan, R., Bhavadharini, B., . . . Mohan, V. (2016). Physical activity patterns and gestational diabetes outcomes – The wings project. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, *116*, 253-262. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2016.04.041

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instrumen ts)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose:	Design: RCT	Results: 247 were dx with	Strengths:
To compare	Instruments:	GDM. 836 did not have	First study to
physical activity	Women with GDM	GDM. Physical activity data	have looked at
patterns in women	were enrolled into the	was available for 84.4%.	maternal and
with and without	model of care (MOC)	Patterns for physical activity	fetal outcomes of
GDM and assess	during their antenatal	analysis in 795 (189 had	women with
the effects of an	visits to maternity	GDM and 606 no GDM).	GDM after
exercise	clinics. Women	No sig. differences in age,	exercise
intervention on	received in depth	GA, BMI, fasting and 1-h	intervention.
change in physical	education about GDM	and 2h glucose values and	Adequate sample
activity patterns,	and its implications	HbA1c.	size, good
blood glucose	for them and their	Dx with GDM were	response and
levels, and	babies. Instructions on	significantly older, had	follow up
pregnancy	dietary principles,	higher BMI, likely to have a	rates. Low cost
outcomes in GDM	monitoring, and	family hx of diabetes and	intervention that
Sample/	pharmacotherapy	previous hx of GDM	was easily
Setting:	management of GDM	compared to those without.	adapted into
1086 pregnant	were given.	20 with GDM (10.6%) were	routine care.
women with GA	All women with GDM	on insulin. GDM were more	Approved by the
less than 28 wks	were offered	sedentary compared to those	Institutional
attending 6	individualized 1on1	without (86.2% vs.	Ethics
maternity clinics	counseling about the	61.2%)10.7% met	Committee of the
located in	benefits of physical	recommended guidelines for	Madra Diabetes
Chennai, South	activity in pregnancy	physical activity (8.7% non	Research
India between	and encouraged to	GDM and 16.9% GDM	Foundation.
11/13 to $12/14$.	meet guidelines at	p=0.001. After the MOC	Informed consent
Exclusions:	every visit. A	there was a sig.	was obtained
women with pre-	pedometer was given	improvement in activity and	from all
existing diabetes,	to encourage an	decrease in sedentary	participants.
multiple	increase in step count.	behavior in GDM. Before	Limitations:
pregnancies, or	The intervention was	MOC moderate activity	The absence of a
assisted	provided by trained	15.2%, sedentary 84.8%.	comparator
pregnancies.	nutritionists and	After MOC moderate	group. Done in
	health care	activity 26.5% sedentary	selected antenatal
	professionals for a	73.5% (<i>p</i> <0.001) Fasting	centers and not
	mean of 12 wks.	and postprandial CBGs	generalized.



Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength Level: I Quality: B/Good	Intervention was reinforced at every antenatal visit. A "after model of care" visit (30-35 wks GA) questionnaires were repeated. Physical activity was measured using the Madara Diabetes Research Foundation- Physical activity questionnaire that measured work, transport, recreational, and general activity, this was measured once before MOC and once after MOC to assess changes in physical activity changes.	decreased after the MOC, p < 0.001. No change in HbA1c before or after MOC. C/S rate was 60.9% (42.3% emergency), 32.5% had normal delivery, and 6.6% had instrumental delivery. 94% delivered at term. 13.2% macrosomia, 3.3% NICU admission, 1.3% neonatal hypoglycemia and others. Recreational walking showed a 70% decreased risk for adverse neonatal outcomes (p=0.04). Conclusion: Physical activity levels are inadequate amongst this group studied. Sedentary behavior was more common with GDM. A low cost, culturally appropriate model of care can bring about significant improvements in physical activity in GDM. These changes are associated with improved glycemic control and reduction of adverse neonatal outcomes. GDM with increased sedentary time have a 4-fold increase of adverse neonatal outcomes.	Pedometer data was only available to a subset of women who were motivated by it. Interventions consisted of dietary and exercise it was difficult to tease out effects of diet versus exercise.
---	---	--	---

Author Recommendations: Larger studies are needed to elucidate whether the findings from this study are applicable in GDM across the world. Research also needs to be done to understand the mechanisms of the benefits of physical activity and the long-term benefits.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Physical activity has an impact on GDM, but still needs to be researched for the long-term benefits. Adding physical activity after the diagnosis of GDM can help with better control of GDM.



Source: Colicchia, L. C., Parviainen, K., & Chang, J. C. (2016). Social contributors to glycemic control in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *128*(6), 1333-1339. doi:10.1097/aog.00000000001740

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose: To evaluate the association of social factors with glycemic control in GDM. Sample/Setting: 145 approached, 111 completed baseline surveys, 97 completed follow up surveys. Participants were recruited from the MFM office and high-risk resident clinic at Magee-Women's Hospital University of Pittsburgh Medical center. March to October 2015. Needed a dx of GDM based on 2 or more elevated values on a 3 hr 100-g GTT or one or more elevated values on a 2-hr 75-g GTT. Exclusions- pre-	Design: RCT Instruments: Surveys administered in 2 parts. After GDM dx & after 4- 12 wks of treatment (by telephone, email, mail, or in person at a follow up visit). Managed according to usual care. QID blood sugar readings with weekly reporting, dietary modifications, & initiation of meds if dietary modifications didn't achieve control. Social support evaluated using the Shortened Social Support Scale & the Diabetes Social Support Questionnaire. Higher scores on both scales = more social support. Chaotic lifestyle assessed using the Confusion, Hubbub and Order Scale to measure organization, instability, & ability to anticipate the future & plan ahead. Higher scores indicate poor organization and high instability. Questionnaires on where food purchased, transportation to food	Results: 86/111 (76.5%) achieved satisfactory glycemic control. 49 (44.6%) were treated with dietary modifications, 45(40.9%) received glyburide, and 16 (14.6%) received insulin treatment. An average of 17.3% missing values on weekly logs (12.7% for good control & 32.7% for poor control, P<.001). Satisfactory glycemic control had lower baseline BMI, lower fasting values on GTT, & more likely to be married, have a higher household income. Less likely to have public insurance, report a hx of depression or anxiety, & more likely to exercise 3 or more times a week. Chaotic lifestyle (confusion, hubbub & order scale score 12.5 ± 2.9 for those with good control, 16.3 ± 3.9 for poor control; odds ratio 0.71 (95 % CI 0.59-0.85) & receipt of food stamps	Strengths: Didn't receive financial compensation. Approval from University of Pittsburgh IR board. Socioeconomically diverse population & prospectively collected data with clearly defined outcomes. Limitations: Single institutional study. Small sample size was likely too small to identify all association between social factors and primary/secondary outcomes.
diabetes or dx	benefits or SNAP, &	control and 10/25 [40%] for poor control; OR	



GA. Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level 1 Quality: B/ Good Consistent results Used RTC design. There were no definitive conclusions. Diverse Population. Significant associations were found. Could use a larger sample size.	access to healthy food were adapted from the National Household Food Acquisition and Purchase Survey. Asked questions related to cooking & meal prep focusing on time and stress and number of meals eaten outside the home. Physical & emotional responses to food were assessed using a 3-point scale on food cravings & feeling anxious about food or eating. Employer support and shifts were assessed as well. Surveys were reviewed by 4 MFM providers, 2 diabetic educators. Data from EHR for baseline health information, pregnancy complications, delivery outcomes, &CBGs.	o.22, 95% C10.08-0.02) associated with decreased likelihood of achieving glycemic control. Being married (67/86 [77.9%] for good control and 12/25 [48.0%] for poor control; OR 3.82, 95% CI 1.49- 9.74) & regular exercise (49/86 [57.0%] for good control and 5/25 [20.0%] for poor control; OR 5.03, 95% CI 1.72-14.72) increased achieving glycemic control. Time to stores, home cooking, & social support, not associated with glycemic control. Conclusion: Chaotic lifestyle, marital status, food stamps, & exercise were associated with glycemic control. Chaotic lifestyle is associated with difficulty adhering to GDM care leading to poor control. Social factors are associated with glycemic control in GDM and may be modifiable to improve glucose control. There was no association between social support and glycemic control.	
---	---	--	--

Author Recommendations: Associations suggest there are multiple targets for interventions to improve glycemic control in GDM. Repeat study with a larger sample size.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Women who are diagnosed with GDM and have a chaotic lifestyle or social factors that impact their access to healthy food and activity and have a negative impact on their control of GDM. If we are able to help with access to food and services, we may be able to help improve glycemic control.



Source: Cordero, Y., Mottola, M. F., Vargas, J., Blanco, M., & Barakat, R. (2015). Exercise is associated with a reduction in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, *47*(7), 1328-1333. doi:10.1249/mss.00000000000547

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/Limitations
Purpose: Examine	Design: RCT	Results:	Strengths: RCT study
efficacy of	Intervention group (IG)	Differences for the	design. Dynamic.
exercise during	followed	50-g maternal	controlled, supervised
pregnancy to	exercise program	glucose screen at	program Offers a variety
prevent GDM.	Control group (CG)	24-28wk GA were	of options while using
Sample/Setting:	remained inactive	not found. The oral	the dynamics of a group.
257 participants	Introduction of exercise	glucose tolerance	A high level of
Pregnant living in	and appearance of	test at 180 minutes	adherence
Madrid Spain.	GDM, set with a	had lower values in	Limitations:
Recruited at 10-12	confidence level of	the IG (98.00 +-	"Fails to statistically
weeks (wk) GA.	95%. Exercise program	29.48mg dL) vs	confirm that a causal
All had medical	from 10-14 wks GA	CG (116.25 +-	relationship between a
clearance for	until the end of	29.90mg dL.IG had	variable exists, but the
exercise & had no	pregnancy. IG exercised	a 90% reduced risk	literature seems to
medical	for 50-60 min per	of GDM. IG also	indicate so" (Cordero et
contraindication.	session 3 x a week. 2	had less maternal	al., 2015 pg. 1332).
	sessions on land &1	weight gain	Not using more contact
Johns Hopkins	aquatic based	according to pre-	via email, telephone, or
Evidence	program. Land exercise-	pregnancy BMI	increased visits where
Appraisal:	10 min warm up, 20 min	(22.8% vs 34.8%	information is collected
Quantitative	aerobic, 12 min	in the CG)	through interviews and
Strength:	resistance, 10 min pelvic	Conclusion:	questionnaires.
Level 1	floor, and 8 min	Exercise reduced	No nutritional analysis in
Quality: B/Good	stretching.	the incidence of	this study.
Consistent results	Water- 10 min warm up,	GDM & is	
with a sufficient	30 min aerobic, 20 min	associated with	
sample size and	stretching. Borgs scale	decreased	
they used RTC	was used for intensity &	gestational weight	
design. There were	an 12-14 level was	gain & preserved	
no definitive	maintained (not allowed	glucose tolerance.	
conclusions.	to surpass 60% of	Weight gain &	
	calculated HR reserve).	obesity promote	
	All sessions were also	type 2 diabetes.	
	supervised by a fitness	Increasing	
	specialist & an OB.	evidence there is	
		better glucose	



Diagnosis of GDM was the primary outcome. Tested by using the criteria of the National Diabetes Data Group. 50g maternal glucose screen at GA from 24-28 wks & plasma glucose after one hour. A positive screen test when the values were equal or greater than 140 mgIdL, If this was positive then they had a 3 hour GTT (fasting 100 g oral glucose with plasma levels at 1, 2, &3 hrs. Other pregnancy outcomes -Excessive maternal weight gain defined according to pre-pregnancy body mass index.	control in pregnant women who exercise compared to those who are sedentary.	

Author Recommendations:

Further studies need to be done to statistically confirm that the relationship of the existence of predisposition to diabetes in obese women rather than the independence of the pre-pregnancy BMI category.

Summary for current clinical practice question:

Glucose control can be improved when exercise is incorporated into the lifestyle of women with GDM. Not only does this decrease gestational weight gain but preserves glucose tolerance.



Source: Cosson, E., Baz, B., Gary, F., Pharisien, I., Nguyen, M., Sandre-Banon, D., & ... Valensi, P. (2017). Poor reliability and poor adherence to self-monitoring of blood glucose are common in women with gestational diabetes mellitus and may be associated with poor pregnancy outcomes. *Diabetes Care, 40*, 1181-1186. doi: 10.2337/dc17-0369

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose: Evaluate compliance with	Design: RCT Instruments:	Results: Analyzed over 13 ± 3 days in	Strengths: Included parameters dealing
self-monitoring of	Referred to a 1-day	91. 78.0%	with psychosocial
blood glucose & the	diabetes program & self-	performed ≥80% of	deprivation including
reliability of	monitoring blood glucose	required preprandial	dedicated social
diabetes logbooks	teaching. Instructed on	tests. 65.9%	insurances
in GDM as well as	accurate testing, recording	performed $\geq 80\%$ of	Limitations:
the associated	CBGs & using a	postprandial Only	Analyses were carried
determinants and	postprandial alarm. Given	61.5% performed	out in a short period of
outcomes.	target CBGs & advised	$\geq 80\%$ of required	time.
	insulin could be necessary	test. Average time	Results may not be
Sample/Setting: 94	if targets not achieved.	between pre and	generalizable.
French speaking	Data extracted from	postprandial tests	Did not evaluate
with newly dx	EHR. Logbooks	was 141 ± 20 min,	some parameters that
GDM referred to	duplicated & glucometer	46.5% of women	may impact
diabetes	data downloaded.	performing ≥80% of	compliance and
management	Considered compliant if	postprandial test	reliability (health
program &	performed at least 80% of	100-140min after	beliefs, behavioral
understood self-	pre- and postprandial	meals. Inadequate	intentions,
monitoring of blood	glucose tests. Inadequate	timing associated	psychological
glucose.	timing was classified as	with higher HbAlc	factors, and fear of
3 were excluded for	before 100min or later	at baseline. 23.1%	inadequate glucose
not bringing back	than 140 min after the	of had $<90\%$	control)
meter or being	preprandial test.	matched values in	
hospitalized.	5 categories of data were	diary and meter.	
T 1 TT 1'	1) underreported data-	Poor adherence	
Johns Hopkins	values in glucometer but	associated with	
Evidence	not in logbook	more preeclampsia $(12.2 \text{ m} - 1.0)(-D)$	
Appraisai:	2)concordant data- log	(12.2 VS. 1.9%, P =	
Strongth, Lovel 1	ducomator	$(0.049), \alpha$	
Strength: Level 1 Opality: D/Cood	2)non concordent data	madequate postprondial test	
Quanty: D/Good	different in the diary then	timing with a higher	
	motor	Uh A Lo at delivery	
	A)overreported data in	$(5.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ yrs} - 5.0 \pm$	
	the diary with no data in	$(3.3 \pm 0.4 \ \sqrt{5}, 3.0 \pm 0.4$	
	meter	$10.370[34 \pm 2.08.31]$ $1 \pm 2 \text{ mmol/moll} D < 10$	
	meter	± 2 mmol/molj, $F \leq$	



5)concordant empty- no	0.01), despite more	
meter or self-reported data	frequent insulin.	
	Poor compliance	
	associated with a	
	family hx of DM,	
	social deprivation.	
	Poor concordance	
	associated with a	
	family hx of DM.	
	Underreported	
	values high.	
	Nonconcordant	
	values	
	underestimated	
	Conclusion: Self-	
	monitoring of blood	
	glucose is an	
	integral part of	
	GDM care Non-	
	compliant were	
	more likely to have	
	family by of DM in	
	first degree	
	relatives Poor	
	compliance	
	associated with	
	social insurance	
	dedicated to people	
	w/ social	
	doprivation Door	
	acprivation. Poor	
	more likely to	
	experience preE, the	
	neonatal outcomes	
	were the same either	
	way.	

Author Recommendations: We need to improve motivation in women with GDM for selfmonitoring. We should trust self-monitoring diaries with caution. We can adjust patient education with a supportive approach which in turn develops empowerment. Using the memory of blood glucose meters should improve the assessment of blood glucose control and guide clinical management in turn improving prognosis.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Education of patients and importance of strict self-monitoring is key. Elevated CBGs are not a failure and reporting them can help the health of their baby and is needed for adequate treatment.



Source: Engberg, E., Stach-Lempinen, B., Rono, K., Kautiainen, H., Eriksson, J., & Koivusalo, S.					
(2018). A rat	ndomized lifestyle interver	ntion preventing gestation	al diabetes: effects on self-rated		
health from	pregnancy to postpartum	. Journal of Psychosome	atic Obstetrics & Gynecology,		
<i>39</i> (1), 1-6. <u>h</u>	ttp://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0	167482X.2017.1286642			
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/Limitations		
	(Method/Instruments)				
Purpose:	Design: RCT	Results:	Strengths:		
Examine effects of	Method:	There was evidence	Randomized controlled		
a randomized	Individualized	that the intervention	study.		
lifestyle	counseling on diet,	improved the self-rated	Study looked at pregnancy		
intervention on	physical activity, and	health scores, but the	and postpartum.		
self-rated health	weight management	data points did not	Considered the personal		
from pregnancy to	from trained nursing	reach statistical	perspective of their health		
postpartum (12-	staff during each	significance.	and not just raw data.		
month PP) in	trimester of pregnancy				
participants at	and at 6 weeks, 6	Conclusion:	Limitations:		
high risk for GDM	months, and 12 months	Self-rated health was	Loss of 30% of the sample		
	PP. Using the Nordic	poorest in third	during the 12-month PP		
Sample/Setting:	Nutrition	trimester for both	follow-up. Resulting in		
266 (144 in	Recommendations	groups.	uneven groupings in the		
intervention and	(optimizing	There was evidence	control and intervention		
122 in control)	participants'	that the intervention	group.		
women over 18	consumption of	improved the self-rated	12-months may have been		
years old with a	vegetables, fruits, and	health scores and	too short to fully evaluate the		
history of GDM,	berries, whole-grain	pregnancy decreased	effectiveness of the study.		
and/or BMI \geq 30	products, and on	the health rated health	Both groups were being		
kg/m ² and	lowering intake of	scores in pregnancy.	measured, which means it		
currently pregnant	sugar-rich foods.)		could have tipped off the		
< 20-weeks	Physical activity goal		control group as to what the		
gestation without	was 150 minutes of		goals of the study were and		
diabetes, physical	moderate-intensity		caused an effect of them		
disability, current	activity per week. With		having better diet choices		
substance abuse,	an overall active		and exercise habits.		
severe psychiatric	lifestyle. Intervention		Self-perception was not		
disorder, or	group had access to		measured against medical		
significant	supervised exercise		perception of health. – No		
	groups.				
Conducted even (All groups checked-in		evaluation.		
Conducted over 6	for vorious		Nurse patient relationship		
years in the maternity hearital	ioi various		could have affected control		
of Helsinki and	measurements.		group.		
Lappenrente in	Instrumont				
Finland	Self-rated health				
	survey with one				
diabetes, physical disability, current substance abuse, severe psychiatric disorder, or significant cooperation difficulties. Conducted over 6 years in the maternity hospital of Helsinki and Lappeenranta in Finland.	moderate-intensity activity per week. With an overall active lifestyle. Intervention group had access to supervised exercise groups. All groups checked-in at six different times for various measurements. Instrument: Self-rated health survey with one		caused an effect of them having better diet choices and exercise habits. Self-perception was not measured against medical perception of health. – No actual psychological evaluation. Nurse patient relationship could have affected control group.		



Johns Hopkins	question, self-		
Evidence	administered.		
Appraisal:			
Quantitative			
Strength: Level I			
Quality: B/Good			
Author Recommendations:			
None			
Implications:			
Self-rated health directly correlates with actual health. The poorer the person rates themselves the			

higher their incidences of morbidity and mortality.



71
Source: Halse, R. E. (2014). Home-based exercise training improves capillary glucose profile in women with gestational diabetes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 46*(9), 1702-1709. doi:10.1249/mss.000000000302

	1		
Purpose/ Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose: Study	Design: RCT	Results: Baseline-no	Strengths: Written
the effectiveness	Visited at home for a	differences in maternal	informed consent
of a home-based	baseline assessment to	age, gestation, gravidity,	Reviewed by
cycling program	confirm standard	parity, or pre intervention	University of Western
upon diagnosis	medical & OB	gestational weight gain	Australia ethics
of GDM on	demographic data & to	(P > 0.05). No difference	committee. Excellent
daily fasting and	do a Pregnancy Physical	in degree of glucose	compliance to
postprandial	Activity Questionnaire	intolerance at diagnosis	program. Home
blood glucose	(PPAQ) to evaluate the	w/ pre intervention	environment &
levels, hbA1c, &	weekly average of	fasting, 60- and 120-min	support from exercise
the response of	energy expenditure	venous plasma glucose	physiologist could
glucose and	$(MET \cdot h \cdot wk - 1)$ to assess	after OGTT between	have increased
insulin to a 75-g	household/caregiving,	groups ($P > 0.05$. Pre-	comfort & familiarity
oral glucose	occupational and	Intervention HbA1c was	to eliminate barriers to
load.	sports/exercise, &	similar between CON	exercise.
Sample/	sedentary, light,	$(5.3\% \pm 0.5\%)$ & EX	Limitations:
Setting:	moderate, & vigorous	$(5.2\% \pm 0.4\%)$ (P >	Diet not strictly
40 women	activity. Randomization	0.05). 5 had GDM in a	controlled. The
recruited from	placed each participant	previous pregnancy	group volunteered
diabetes service	in the exercise	(CON, n = 3, EX, n = 2).	for an exercise
at King Edward	intervention in	Compliance with cycling	intervention, which
Memorial	combination with	program for each	could indicate
Hospital in	conventional	participant was 96%.	greater awareness &
Perth, Australia	management (EX, $n =$	Mean capillary	commitment to
within one week	20) or continue	concentrations of	managing condition.
if GDM dx.	conventional	glucose from pre to post	Small sample size.
Inclusions:	management alone	exercise decreased from	Short intervention
Single	(CON, <i>n</i> =20)	$6.3 \pm 100.8 \text{ mM pre}$	period.
pregnancy	The EX group	exercise to 4.9 <u>+</u> 0.7 mM	
between 26-30	participated in 5 home-	postexercise (P<0.001).	
wk GA with a	based exercise	The decline in CBG was	
normal anatomy	sessions/week until	\geq 1.0 in 62% of all	
scan. BMI <u>≤</u> 45,	34wks.CON continued	analyzed sessions with	
non-smokers,	with their usual physical	26% of sessions in a drop	
not engaged in a	activity. Groups were	less than 1.0mM. 12%	
structured	assessed for glycemic	resulted in an increase in	
exercise	control & counseling	CBG postexercise (in	
program,	visits with a diabetes	68% of these cases	



medically cleared for exercise. Exclusions: less than 18 years old, unable to understand the implications of participation, on any medications at time of recruitment, had low lying placenta, preexisting diabetes, or cardiac disease. Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level 1 Quality: B/ Good	educator & dietician. Participants checked CGBs QID, fasting & 2 hrs postprandial) daily recorded by the participant. Diet evaluated by a food diary that recorded all food & drink intake in the first & last 7 days of the intervention. Nutritional intake was determined using a commercially available program to analyze the average daily energy intake, absolute & relative intake of carbohydrate (CHO), fat & protein, & absolute intake of sugars and dietary fiber. EX group completed 3 home-based sessions on stationary cycle supervised by an exercise physiologist & 2 unsupervised on alternative days. Sessions were 5 min of low intensity warm up (55-65% of max HR) followed by continuous moderate intensity (65- 75% max HR) & high intensity bouts (75-85% max HR) every 2 minutes alternated for 25-30 minutes up to the goal of 45 min by week	participants ate within 30 min of session). 2 CON and 2 EX had to start insulin therapy according to routine care. Mean CBG concentration was within the target ranges in the other 38. Tendency for lower daily fasting glucose concentrations in EX compared with those in CON ($P = 0.083$). Overall mean postprandial (PP) glucose concentration was significantly lower in EX compared with that in CON ($P = 0.046$). PP glucose concentration was lower specifically after breakfast ($P =$ 0.036), with a tendency for lower values at dinner ($P = 0.054$), whereas glucose concentrations after lunch were similar between EX and CON groups ($P =$ 0.312).Glucose response to the post intervention OGTT no significant difference between CON and EX ($P > 0.05$) or within groups when compared with the pre intervention values ($P >$ 0.05). Insulin response to the OGTT was similar between groups ($P >$	
	intensity bouts (75-85% max HR) every 2 minutes alternated for	compared with the pre intervention values ($P > 0.05$). Insulin response to	
	25-30 minutes up to the goal of 45 min by week	the OGTT was similar between groups $(P > $	
	4 concluded with a 5-10 min cool down BP &	0.05) with no statistical difference calculated	
	CBGs were measured	whole-body insulin	
	the supervised sessions	sensitivity between CON (4.2 ± 2.3) & EX $(5.0 \pm$	
	as well as the time of the	3.0) ($P > 0.05$). HbA1c	
	last meal.m 01000	was nigher at the post	



glucose was <3.0 mM before exercise participants ate 15-30g of high glycemic index food prior to exercise. HR was measured at regular intervals during exercise to monitor intensity.	intervention assessment in both CON (5.4% \pm 0.3%, <i>P</i> =0.029) and EX (5.3% \pm 0.4%, <i>P</i> = 0.012) compared with pre intervention values, with no difference between groups (<i>P</i> > 0.05). Total weekly activity based on the PPAQ similar in CON and EX at baseline & post intervention (P > 0.05). Post Intervention, EX group reported an increase from pre intervention weekly sports/exercise participation, with higher MET-hours per week compared with those in CON (CON, 8 \pm 9 MET·h·wk-1; EX, 25 \pm 9 MET·h·wk-1; P < 0.001). All other components of physical activity were similar between groups pre- & post-intervention (P > 0.05).Nutritional intake similar during the first & last 7 d of the intervention, no difference in mean daily total intake of energy & absolute intake of CHO, sugars, fat, protein, & dietary fiber (P > 0.05) Intake of protein was lower in the EX group during the first (P = 0.033) & last week of the intervention (P = 0.009).Intake of CHO was higher in EX during	



HbA1c, glucose tolerance, or the insulin response to the post intervention OGTT.Home based exercise programs may help to maintain normal
OGTT.Home based exercise programs may help to maintain normal

Using a home-based program may help patients with diet-controlled GDM manage daily PP CBGs. Adding supervision and home based may increase compliance and help overcome barriers to exercise and establish lifestyle changes. Implementing a specific exercise program while providing support may be an integral part of routing OB counseling in management of GDM.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Home based programs are good for monitoring CBGs in GDM patients, but there needs to be some direction to increase the compliance of patients. Interviewing and asking about barriers in their life & implementing ways to overcome this can help increase their compliance and management of GDM



Source: Han, S., Middleton, P. F., Tran, T. S., & & Crowther, C. A. (2016). Assessing use of a printed lifestyle intervention tool by women with borderline gestational diabetes and their achievement of diet and exercise goals: A descriptive study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 16(42), 44. doi:10.1186/s12884-016-0825-z

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose: Assess the use of a booklet to record & assist dietary & lifestyle changes in GDM; to describe diet & exercise goals set during the initial discussions; & to assess goal achievement Sample/Setting: 358 women given booklets, 56 returned booklets & used them at least once. Between 24& 35 wks GA with a singleton pregnancy classified as having borderline GDM Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level 1 Quality: B/Good	Design: RCT Instruments: Placed in intervention (IG) or routine group (RG). IG- received booklets during initial lifestyle discussions with a dietician & invited to return them after delivery. Advised to bring to antenatal appts and delivery. Book was designed to record existing diet & exercise patterns & to see goals. Reviewed dietary monthly & exercise habits. Goals reset monthly. Counseled on recommendations & best available evidence. Information entered into a database. Dietary data was categorized into 7 categories. Grains, Veggies, fruit, dairy, meat & protein rich foods, foods high in fat, sugar &/or salt, & overall dietary goal. Exercise data was analyzed by systematic comparisons based on grounded theory methods.	Results: 56 completed initial lifestyle discussion at a mean gestation of 31.6 (1.7) wks. 52 (92.9%) used the booklet for setting dietary goals for the next month, 53 (94.6%) used booklets at least once for reviewing existing pattern of exercise, & 44 (78.6%) used the booklet for setting exercise goals for the next month. After 1 month 86.4% achieved exercise goals of maintaining current level of activity, only 25% of goals to increase walking were met. Most frequent dietary goal was to reduce foods high in sugar, fat, &/or salt. Set a total of 197 dietary goals & 65 exercise goals. In the 1st month over 80% of dietary goals that targeted grains, dairy, & overall diet were achieved. 20- 30% of the goals about veggies & foods high in fat, sugar, &/or salt were	Strengths: Ethics approved. Written consent from participants. Limitations Women were invited, but not required to return booklets. Majority of women who returned booklets were from 2 geographical areas. Self- reported data.



	Women who used pregnancy logbooks reported good achievement rates for goals related to grains, fruits, dairy, and overall diet, but were less likely to be successful in goals to increase vegetables and limit foods high in fat, sugar and/or salt. Maintaining an active lifestyle during pregnancy was feasible. Increase in physical activity were less often achieved. Using a pregnancy logbook may be helpful in assisting as well as encouraging behavioral changes.	

Author Recommendations: Further investigations of long-term effects in different populations are warranted. Information from this study may help to design behavioral intervention tools in the future to provide tailored care for women.

Summary for current clinical practice question: When women are dx with GDM it is important to educate them on the management of this health condition and ways to improve long- and short-term outcomes for their health and their baby. Encouraging an active and healthy lifestyle can help in this management. Giving women the tools to achieve this is important for success.



Source: Horsch, A., Kang, J., Vial, J., Ehlert, U., Borghini, A., Marques-Vidal, P. . . . Puder, J. (2016). Stress exposure and psychological stress responses are related to glucose concentrations during pregnancy. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 21, 712-729. doi:10.1111/bjhp.12197

Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purnose: To	Design: Cross sectional	Results	Strengths.
investigate the	study	39(192%) were dy	Informative sheet and
impact of stress	Instruments.	with GDM With GDM	consent given to
exposure	Routine screening for	were significantly older	participants Women
nsychological	GDM at 24-30 wks GA	$(t=313 \ n=002 \ g=56)$	were allowed to ask
stress responses &	with a 75-g OGTT	$(i \ 515, p \ .002, g \ .50),$	questions about the
nhysiological stress	Diagnosis using the	nregnancy BMI	study
responses on	guidelines of the	(t=2,75, p=0.06, q=51)	Ethical approval from
glucose	International Association	$\begin{pmatrix} n & 2.75.p & .000, g & .51 \end{pmatrix}$, & higher current BMI	Cantonal Ethics
concentrations	of Diabetes and	$(t=2.66 \ p=.008 \ \sigma=.52)$	Committee of Vaud
during pregnancy	Pregnancy Study group	(i 2.00, p .000, g .02)	Switzerland
Sample/Setting203	ADA & the Endocrine	GDM Positive	Switzerfund.
pregnant women at	Society Given a list of 3	associations were found	Limitations.
the maternity	negative pregnancy	between the number of	Lack of consideration
department of a	related major events &	pregnancy related	of dietary factors as
Swiss University	ten negative pregnancy	major life events	potential confounders
hospital between	unrelated events & were	$(r = 16 \ p = 0.26) \&$	and lack of measuring
11/2012 & 7/2013	asked if they were	fasting glucose No	social support and
Asked to	exposed to any of these	association with	coping
participate while	in the prior 12 months	pregnancy-unrelated	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
waiting for their	Pregnancy-related &	major life events	
routine appt in the	pregnancy-unrelated	(p>.22). More anxiety	
waiting room.	major life events,	& depressive	
Excluded if they	perceived stress	symptoms, a higher	
were not able to	(measured by PSS	general level of distress	
complete the self-	scale), general	(.15 < r < .18, p < .030),	
report	psychosocial distress,	& shorter duration of	
questionnaires due	anxiety, depression, &	sleep $(r =18, p = .009)$	
to difficulties with	amount of sleep	were related to fasting	
French or if they	(measured by Pittsburgh	glucose. When age &	
had medical	Sleep Quality Index)	BMI were controlled	
problems or used	were assessed by	for the general level of	
medications that	validated self-reported	distress & shorter	
could influence	questionnaires.	duration of sleep not	
their cortisol and/or	Depression and anxiety	significant.	
glucose levels.	were measured by the	Physiological stress	
	DASS-21 scale.	responses were not	



Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level III Quality: B/Good	Physiological stress was measured by cortisol samples. Cortisol was measured using fasting & bedtime saliva samples, and copeptin using fasting plasma. Measured prior to receiving the 75-g OGTT. Results of GTT were not given until after obtaining the evening cortisol sample. Samples were analyzed using CLIA. Inter-and intra-assay coefficients of variation were below 5%. Participants were asked how many minutes/weeks they engaged in moderate physical activity in the last 7 days using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Education, history of GDM, family hx of diabetes, medical diseases, and current medication were assessed. Self-reported data (age, pre-pregnancy and current weight, and current height) were collected.	associated with glucose concentrations (all $p > .05$). Age correlated + with all 3 glucose indices ($.21 \le r \le .25$, p < .01) suggests higher glucose if older. Family hx of DM was positively linked to 1-or 2-hour post load glucose concentrations ($r=.15$, $p=.036$, or r=.19, $p=.008$). Dx with GDM reported more pregnancy-related life events. Education level, migrant status, duration of physical activity had no correlate with any of the 3 glucose variables (all $p > .11$) Conclusion: Some indicators of stress exposure and psychological stress response were associated with fasting glucose concentrations. Concludes that stress exposure & psychological stress are important risk factors for GDM development.	

Given the lack of studies on cortisol and copeptin levels in pregnancy further research is needed to clarify if copeptin is a useful predictor of metabolic disturbances in pregnancy. Results need to be replicated before firmer conclusions can be drawn.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Women who are exposed to increased stress could have higher fasting CBGs. Incorporating self-care and ways to decrease stress could help control elevated fasting CBGs.



Source: Jamilian, M., Amirani, E., & & Asemi, Z. (2018). The effects of vitamin D and probiotic cosupplementation on glucose homeostasis, inflammation, oxidative stress and pregnancy outcomes in gestational diabetes: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2018.10.028

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/	Strengths/Limitati
	(Method/Instruments)	Conclusion	on
Purpose: To assess the effects of the combination of vitamin D and probiotic supplements on the metabolic status of women with GDM Sample/Setting: 87 Primigravida women age 18-40 and with a GA between 24-28 wks that were diagnosed with GDM by a 2 hr 75g GTT based on guidelines by the American Diabetes Association guidelines. Performed at a gynecology clinic in Iran. Exclusions- taking vitamin D, probiotic, or symbiotic supplements 3 months prior to the intervention. Being on insulin	Design: RCT Matched by BMI and age & then randomly assigned into 3 groups.1 group received a probiotic, 1 received a probiotic & 50000 IU vitamin D3 every 2 weeks, or the placebo group. The duration of intervention was 6 weeks but were followed until delivery. Participants advised to maintain routine dietary habits & activity. They also completed 3-day food records & 3 physical activity measures as metabolic equivalents at weeks 0, 3, & 6 during treatment All followed standard pregnancy protocol in Iran which included taking 1000 IU Vitamin D3 & 400 ug vitamin B9 daily from the beginning of pregnancy & 60mg per day of ferrous sulfate per day starting at the second trimester. Compliance monitored by assessing serum levels of vitamin D. Intake of the participants was monitored by asking the participants to return medication containers	Results: Mean BMI & weight were not statistically different between treatment or placebo groups at the end of the study. No significant changes in macro & micronutrients among the groups. Vitamin D & probiotic co supplementation significantly reduced fasting plasma glucose (from 95.4 to 83.1) serum insulin levels (12.8 to 10.8), homeostasis model of assessment-insulin resistance (3.0 to 2.2). An increase of the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index was also noted (0.32 to 0.34) Probiotic supplementation also resulted in a significant reduction of fasting plasma glucose (96.6 to 86.5), serum insulin levels (13 to 11 7)	Strengths: That study used a large group of participants and also had similar average ages, heights, and BMIs in each group to prevent any bias. Limitations: The study had funding limitations and they were not able to characterize the microbiota and therefore unable to establish in the microbiota changed its composition. There was also no examination of the effects of vitamin D and probiotic supplementation on gene expression related to metabolic profiles.
the intervention.	by asking the participants to	86.5), serum insulin	
Being on insulin	return medication containers.	levels (13.1 to 11.7),	
therapy, pre-	To increase compliance,	homeostasis model	



eclampsia,	they received daily calls	of assessment-	
smoking, hyper	reminding them to take	insulin resistance	
and	supplements.	(3.1 to 2.5). An	
hypothyroidism	Instruments	increase of the	
	Measurement of weight &	quantitative insulin	
Johns Hopkins	height at baseline & 6 weeks	sensitivity check	
Evidence	following intervention.	index was also noted	
Appraisal:	Primary outcomes- markers	(0.33-0.34)	
Quantitative	of insulin metabolism.	Both treatment	
Strength: Level 1	Secondary outcomes- lipid	groups did not show	
Quality: B/ Good	profiles, biomarkers of	any change in other	
The researchers	inflammation, & oxidative	metabolic	
used a significant	stress.	parameters.	
sample size and	Ten milliliters of fasting	Conclusion:	
the results are	blood samples were	Vitamin D &	
generalizable.	collected at the beginning	probiotic	
They also used	of the trial. 25-	supplementation was	
RTC for the	hydroxyvitamin D	showed significant	
design study. The	concentrations were	improvements in	
article is not high	measured using ELISA	glycemic control but	
quality because	kits. Enzymatic kits were	did not affect other	
there are not	used to quantify fasting	metabolic profiles &	
definitive	plasma glucose, serum	pregnancy	
conclusions or	triglycerides, LDL, HDL,	outcomes.	
consistent	& total cholesterol. Used		
recommendations.	the Statistical Package for		
	Social Science version 18		
	for analysis.		

Further studies are needed to continue to explore possible mechanisms for decrease in insulin levels and hyperbilirubinemia in infants.

Summary for current clinical practice question:

Adding in supplements such as vitamin D and probiotics may help with glycemic control in GDM.



Diebetes Mellitus. <i>Midwifery</i> , 49, 79-86. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2016.12.009					
Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/Limitat		
	(Method/Instruments)		ions		
Purpose:	Method:	Results: GDM patients tend	Strengths:		
Discover how	sociological design.	to have more daily and	Good use of article		
women with		cumulative stressors, and	review and in-		
GDM feel about	Instrument:	lower self-esteem. Women	depth review of		
their treatment.	Narrative interviews	felt judged for their GDM	interview results.		
	during pregnancy,	and this made their mental			
Sample/Setting:	post-birth and	health decrease. Financial	Limitations:		
27 women with a	fieldnotes	concerns were a barrier to	Interviewees could		
$BMI \ge 39 AND$		buying the proper food to	report		
GDM.		control weight. Several	inaccuracies.		
Diabetes antenatal		patients requested for blood	Majority of		
clinic at two NHS		sugar log reviews that they	participants were		
hospital trusts in		be done over the phone for	of low socio-		
the South West of		reasons of time and cost.	economic status;		
England		Conclusion:	not fully		
		Women had several other	representative of		
Johns Hopkins		outside factors that hindered	general population.		
Evidence		their ability to manage their	T 1 0		
Appraisal:		pregnancy, weight, and	Low number of		
Qualitative		diabetes. Women felt that	participants.		
Strength: Level I		there was a stigma placed			
Quality: B/Good		on them by the healthcare			
		reams and the general			
		The many it was monthered			
		the greater the stigme falt			
		the greater the stigma left.			

Source: Jarvie, R. (2017). Lived experiences of women with co-existing BMI ≥ and Gestational Diebetes Mellitus. *Midwifery*, 49, 79-86. doi: 10.1016/j.midw.2016.12.009

Author Recommendations:

Offer women collaborative care which would track who and how many times their weight and lifestyle changes were being addressed.

Implications:

Study noted that in order to assist women in not having to come into the clinic as frequently they were given access to a smartphone and an app to track sugars, diet, and exercise and they were able to comply better with the program(s).



Source: Koivusalo, S. B., Rönö, K., Klemetti, M. M., Roine, R. P., Lindström, J., Erkkola, M., . . . Stach-Lempinen, B. (2015). Gestational diabetes mellitus can be prevented by lifestyle intervention: The Finnish gestational diabetes prevention study (RADIEL). *Diabetes Care, 39*(1), 24-30. doi:10.2337/dc15-0511



excluded due to miscarriage or loss to follow up. 269 women \geq 18 years old with a history of GDM and/or a pre pregnancy BMI \geq 30 were enrolled at <20 weeks of gestational age. 144 were assigned to the intervention group and 125 to the control group. Exclusions: type 1 or 2 diabetes, the use of medication that influences glucose metabolism (continuous therapy with oral corticosteroids or metformin), multiple pregnancy, physical disability, current substance abuse, severe psychiatric disorder, and significant difficulty in cooperating, and women with GDM prior to 20 weeks GA. Conducted between 2/2008 and 1/2014 in 4 maternity hospitals (Hels inki University Central Hospital,	unsaturated fatty acids, fish, low-fat meat products, & lower intake of sugar rich foods. Physical activity: achieve a minimum of 150 minutes of moderate physical activity per week, as well as an overall active lifestyle. Also got free access to pools and exercise groups once a week. Control Group: Received general info on diet and exercise provided by antenatal clinics. Had 3 visits with study nurse for data collection. Incidence of GDM was defined as one or more pathological glucose values in a 75-g, 2 -h oral glucose tolerance test with thresholds of; fasting ≥ 5.3 mmol/L, 1-h ≥ 10.0 mmol/L, and 2- $h \geq 8.6$ mmol/L. All participants underwent OGTT at enrollment then at 24-28wks GA unless insulin or metformin treatment was initiated earlier. Blinded-study physicians reviewed OB records and maternal and neonatal diagnosis.	group 2.5 kg & CG 3.1 kg, mean difference of -0.2kg. Dietary index score improved in the IG (0.7) compared to the CG (0.3) mean difference of 0.4. Activity was increased in the IG by 15 min, where the physical activity in the CG remained the same. Goal of 150 min/week was met by 26% of the IG and 23% of the CG, no significant differences in the groups. No differences in the other maternal pregnancy or birth outcomes assessed between the groups. Conclusion: The first randomized controlled lifestyle intervention trial that succeeded in reducing overall incidence of GDM among high risk pregnant women. Combining moderate physical activity with diet intervention the overall incidence of GDM was reduced by 39%. IG increased activity & improved diet during pregnancy which indicated a real effort to change lifestyle in a healthier direction. CG did not improve in a significant manner.	expertise in counseling pregnant women. Limitations: Control group was more of a mini intervention group since they received general health advice at antenatal clinics. Performed in a white population.
Central Hospital, Katiloopisto Matemity Hospital	diagnosis. At each visit in both groups they filled out	significant manner. Results are	
Maternity Hospital,	groups they filled out	encouraging and	



Jorvi Hospital, and	questionnaires as well	similar to findings in	
South-Karelia	as physicals with	Type 2 diabetes	
Central Hospital in	measurements and	prevention studies. It	
Lappeenranta).	blood samplings.	was evident that study	
	Food questionnaires	was directed at high	
Level of evidence:	were filled out prior to	risk women due to the	
Johns Hopkins	each visit with the	number of elevated	
Evidence	nurse to measure	OGTT existing at the	
Appraisal:	adherence to the	beginning of	
Quantitative	recommended diet.	pregnancy. The largest	
Strength: Level 1	Physical activity was	effect of a lifestyle	
Quality: B/ Good	self-reported by time	intervention is seen in	
Consistent results	spent weekly being	high risk individuals.	
with a sufficient	active measured by	The tailored counseling	
sample size and	sweating.	helped to get	
they used RTC	_	participants to engage	
design. They did		in activities per their	
have conclusions		personal preferences	
but need to be			
implemented in a			
larger group and			
with different			
ethnicities.			

Setting would need a bigger sample size to reveal the effect of lifestyle intervention. Also including other ethnicities to be less generalized. Since the interventions were simple and easily modifiable it would be easy to implement in other ethnic groups.

Summary for current clinical practice question:

GDM can be prevented in a high-risk population by simple and easy applicable lifestyle change/intervention. This intervention should be initiated early and continued throughout the pregnancy for the best outcomes. Prevention of GDM can have short- and long-term health consequences for the mother and the child.



Source: Luoto, R., Kinnunen, T. I., Aittasalo, M., Kolu, P., Raitanen, J., Ojala, K., & . . . Tulokas, S. (2011). Primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus and large-for-gestational-age newborns by lifestyle counseling: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. *PLoS Medicine*, *8*(5), p.e1001036. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001036

Purpose/	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/
Sample	(Method/Instruments)		Limitations
Purpose:	Design: Cluster RTC	Results: No	Strengths:
Examine whether	Intervention 8-12 wks	significant differences	Approved by
gestational	until 37 wks.	between the	review board
diabetes or a	Recommendations for	intervention and	and ethical
newborn's high	weight gain discussed. A	control group at	committee of
birth weight can	graph was given to	baseline or 26-28 wks	Pikanmaa
be prevented by	monitor weight gain.	in glucose tolerance	Hospital
lifestyle	Physical activity	measurements. The	District, and
counseling in	counseling was	proportion of women	the
pregnant women	implemented at 8-12 wks	with GDM, total	physicians in
who are at a high risk for gestational diabetes. Sample/Setting: Screened 2271. 640 agreed to participate, 24 had a miscarriage, 174	Diet counseling at 16-18 wks. If OGTT was dx of GDM at 26-28 wks participant was also referred to specialists. Physical activity counseling aimed to increase leisure time	gestational weight gain, preeclampsia, or used of diabetic medication did not differ significantly. Gestational age of newborns was 39.4 <u>+</u> 1.9 wks vs	charge of the clinics. Participants also provided written informed consent.
had abnormal	activity in those not	39.6 ± 1.3 wks. The	Limitations:
OGTT at baseline,	meeting recommended	average weight of	Absence of
29 did not respond	activity for the level of	newborns was lower	late
to the final survey,	health as well as maintain	in the intervention	pregnancy
14 had a	or adjust those who were	group (3,532 g) vs	measurement
miscarriage.	already meeting	control group (3659 g)	of maternal
399 participants	recommendations. Min	adjusted <i>p</i> =0.035.	glucose
were included.	weekly leisure time	When looking at	intolerance
219 in the	physical activity dose 800	between group	and not being
intervention group	MET (multiples of resting	differences in birth	able to
and 180 in the	metabolic equivalents)	weight (absolute size-	measure and
control group.	minutes. Evaluation of	133g, 95% CI-231 to -	assess
Mean age was 30.	leisure time activity was	35) and birthweight	maternal
Eligible if they	evaluated on self-report.	per gestational age	endpoints
had at least one of	Dietary counseling aimed	(absolute effect size -	close to
the following: pre	to help achieve a diet	3.08, 95%C CI-5.29	delivery, so
pregnancy BMI	containing $\leq 10 \%$	to -0.87) remained	high
\geq 25, GDM, any	saturated fat, 5-10%	significant after taking	birthweight
signs of glucose	polyunsaturated fat, 25-30	cluster, clinic, nurse,	was used as a



intolerance, or newborn's% to sacc macrosomia $(\geq 4500 \text{ g})$ in any earlier pregnancy, type 1 or 2 DM in 1st or 2nd degreefiber glan relatives, or age $\geq 40.$ Exclusions: at least 1 of 3plan baseline (8-12 wk)OGTT measurement was abnormal, pre pregnant type 1 or 2 DM, inability to speak Finnish <18 yr old, multiple pregnancy, wastance abuse, or treatment or clinical hx of a psychiatric in maternity clinics of primary health care centers of finland. Study conducted in Pirkanmaa conducted conducted conducted crew finland. Study conducted crew finland. Study conducted conducted crew finland. Study conducted crew conducted crew finland. Study conducted crew conducted crew crew conducted crew conducted crew crew conducted crew conducted crew crew conducted crew crew conducted crew crew crew conducted crew crew conducted crew crew crew crew conducted crew crew crew crew crew conducted crew crew crew crew conducted crew <th>otal fat, <10% charose of total energy ke, & 25-35 g/d er. Counseling cards adardized counseling. ed notebooks to set as for physical activity lietary changes & to ord adherence to the a. Control group eived no counseling ond usual care. Dx of M was based on 2-h TT with results of ing \geq5.3 mmol/L, 1h 0.0mmol/L,& 2h \geq 8.6 iol/L. Birthweight ed on hospital records he research group. rel of insulin resistance flucose tolerance based nomeostasis model essment insulin stance (HOMA-R) culator & calculated as ing insulin x fasting cose concentration 5. Blood samples were en at 8-12 & 26-28 wks onatal outcomes orted: sex, proportions Macrosomic, LGA ove 90%) and SAG low 10%), GA at very, birthweight dard deviation (SD), wn-heel length easured with measuring rd), crown-heel length score, ponderal index th weight in kg divided the cube of the crown- l length in meters), and vborn head circ. easuring tape to the</th> <th>maternal age, education, sex of the infant, parity, prepregnancy BMU, gestational weight gain, and smoking into account (p=0.024). Birthweight SD was not significantly different. The proportion of LGA infants was lower in intervention group (12.1%) than the control (19.7%, p=0.042) Conclusion: Using a cluster-controlled design lifestyle counseling was effective in controlling the proportion of LGA newborns, be the result concerning the effectiveness on controlling/reducing GDM was inconclusive. There were beneficial effects on the dietary aims of intake of dietary fiber, saccharose, and saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids. Women who were adherent to lifestyle aims had lower proportion of the proportion of the incidence of GDM.</th> <th>marker of longstanding glucose intolerance in pregnancy. There could also be inaccuracy of measurement of the newborn taken in the hospital since they are nondifferentia l and errors can be made. Women and nurses of the control group could not be blinded for the purpose of the study and this could have changed their health behavior and/or counseling practices.</th>	otal fat, <10% charose of total energy ke, & 25-35 g/d er. Counseling cards adardized counseling. ed notebooks to set as for physical activity lietary changes & to ord adherence to the a. Control group eived no counseling ond usual care. Dx of M was based on 2-h TT with results of ing \geq 5.3 mmol/L, 1h 0.0mmol/L,& 2h \geq 8.6 iol/L. Birthweight ed on hospital records he research group. rel of insulin resistance flucose tolerance based nomeostasis model essment insulin stance (HOMA-R) culator & calculated as ing insulin x fasting cose concentration 5. Blood samples were en at 8-12 & 26-28 wks onatal outcomes orted: sex, proportions Macrosomic, LGA ove 90%) and SAG low 10%), GA at very, birthweight dard deviation (SD), wn-heel length easured with measuring rd), crown-heel length score, ponderal index th weight in kg divided the cube of the crown- l length in meters), and vborn head circ. easuring tape to the	maternal age, education, sex of the infant, parity, prepregnancy BMU, gestational weight gain, and smoking into account (p=0.024). Birthweight SD was not significantly different. The proportion of LGA infants was lower in intervention group (12.1%) than the control (19.7%, p=0.042) Conclusion: Using a cluster-controlled design lifestyle counseling was effective in controlling the proportion of LGA newborns, be the result concerning the effectiveness on controlling/reducing GDM was inconclusive. There were beneficial effects on the dietary aims of intake of dietary fiber, saccharose, and saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids. Women who were adherent to lifestyle aims had lower proportion of the proportion of the incidence of GDM.	marker of longstanding glucose intolerance in pregnancy. There could also be inaccuracy of measurement of the newborn taken in the hospital since they are nondifferentia l and errors can be made. Women and nurses of the control group could not be blinded for the purpose of the study and this could have changed their health behavior and/or counseling practices.
---	---	--	--



Quality: B/ Good	Measurements collected through medical records. Leisure time physical activity was reported by a self-report at baseline, 26- 28 wks, and 36-37 wks Follow ups questioned physical activity in the prior 3 wks. Intensity based on the breathlessness of the wmn. METs were quantified 3 for light, 5 for moderate & 7 for vigorous. Dietary habits were assessed using questionnaire at baseline, 26-28 wks & 36-37 wks. Data underwent statistical analysis and numbers and percentages were reported with standard deviations (SD) or 95% confidence intervals (CI). SPSS software and STATA were used for multilevel analysis to examine cluster, clinic, nurse, and individual influences on outcomes and correlation of results.	half lower than the control group according to power calculations, but results didn't support the reduction. The time of the initiation of dietary counseling (16-18 wks) to the measurement of GDM (26-28 wks) may have been to short of a time period to produce changed that have an effect on the development of GDM.	

Researching with a larger sample group may have changed the study results. Also, most of the women included had a quite low risk of developing GDM. If higher risk women were included the results may have been different. The counseling may have been more applicable if the interventions were delivered by research nurses or other staff.

Summary for current clinical practice question:

Interventions for prevention of GDM need to be given very early in gestation to see if they can have an impact on preventing GDM. Diet and lifestyle interventions do have an impact on reducing LGA newborns, which can lead to better health later in life.



Source: Mazzoni, S., Hill, P., Webster, K., Heinrichs, G., & Hoffman, C. (2015). Group prenatal care for women with gestational diabetes. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, *29*(17), 2852-2856. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2015.1107541

Purpose/	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/		
Sample	(Method/Instru		Limitations		
-	ments)				
Purpose:	Method:	Results: Women in group	Strengths:		
Compare group	Observational	care had less prenatal visits,	Mix of private and		
prenatal care for	Study	and less OB triage problem	state assisted		
GDM patients		visits. They also required less	healthcare patients.		
versus traditional	Instrument:	antenatal diabetic medication			
1:1 care.	Most traditional	(namely insulin) and had			
	patients were	improved glucose tolerance in			
Sample/Setting:	previous patients	the postpartum period. Those			
165 GDM	as the group	in group had higher rates of			
Patients: 62 in	setting was made	increased activity and diet			
group care and	available to all	modifications.			
103 in traditional	patients if they	Those in group received			
in the Denver	wished. The	"more frequent and in-depth"	Limitations:		
Health Hospital	group was led by	education that was enhanced	Groups were studied at		
Authority	OBs and MFM	by peer-to-peer interaction.	different times, not a		
System.	practitioners.	They had an average delivery	true control group.		
		at 39 weeks; and had the	Small sample size.		
Johns Hopkins		same occurrences of			
Evidence		spontaneous preterm births.			
Appraisal:		Weight gain was also similar			
Quantitative		between groups.			
Strength: Level		Conclusion:			
		Group care for GDM patients			
Quality: B/Good		shows decreased transition to			
		A2 GDM and better glucose			
		tolerance in the post-partum			
		period; without attecting			
		obstetric or neonatal			
		outcomes.	. 1 110		
Author Recommendations: Group prenatal care for GDM patients is an improved model of					

Author Recommendations: Group prenatal care for GDM patients is an improved model of care resulting in improved screening for diabetes outside of pregnancy and decrease resource utilization for antenatal care and long-term benefits.

Implications:

When considering alternate therapy for GDM patients it would be prudent to consider the group setting for education and support.



Source: Miremberg, H., Ben-Ari, T., Betzer, T., Raphaeli, H., Gassnier, R., Barda, G., ... Weiner, E. (2018). The impact of daily smartphone-based feedback system among women with gestational diabetes on compliance, glycemic control, satisfaction, and pregnancy outcome: a randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, *218*(4), 453.e1 - 453.e7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.01.044

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Ins		
	truments)		
Purpose:	Design:	Results:	Strengths:
To determine the	randomized	Control group had	Women who used the
effectiveness of using a	control trial.	66% compliance of	smartphone app reported
smartphone app to		glycemic control and	satisfaction with the
encourage tighter	Instruments	the smartphone	application: <u>Glucose Buddy</u> .
glycemic control in	:	group had higher	Integration of easily
gestational diabetic	Smartphone	glycemic control at	accessed instrument.
patients	and	84%. The	Smartphones are common
Sample/Setting:	application	smartphone group	(80% in developed
120 English and	Glucose	also had less insulin	countries).
speaking and reading; as	Buddy.	use at 8 patients, and	Good integration of home
well as Hebrew reading	Questionnair	the control group	data collection and provider
and writing pregnant	e in Hebrew	had 18 patients on	feedback to that data.
women age 18-45, with	about the	insulin.	Creating a link between
single gestation and	ease of use of	Conclusion:	those two worlds.
newly diagnosed	the	Smartphone based	Limitations:
gestational diabetes less	application	daily feedback and	Women had to be able to
than 34 weeks' gestation	versus	communication	converse in English and
at a	difficulties,	platform between	read and write in Hebrew.
multidisciplinary	and their	gestational diabetes	Non-application using
diabetes-in-pregnancy	satisfaction	mellites patients and	patients were not surveyed
university-affiliated	with their	the multidisciplinary	on their satisfaction with
clinic; were split into	prenatal care.	diabetes-in-	their prenatal care.
groups of 60. Each		pregnancy clinic	Application has cost
group received care and		team improved	associated with it and could
one group used the		patient compliance	exclude lower income
smartphone application.		and glycemic control	families. Cost per website is
Johns Hopkins		and lowered the rate	\$5/month.
Evidence Appraisal:		of insulin treatment.	There were no statistical
Quantitative			benefits to birth outcomes
Strength: Level I			or fetal weight.
Quality: A/High			Small sample size.

Author Recommendations:

That further studies need to be done in order to prove efficacy of integration of smartphone applications to increase glycemic control of women with gestational diabetes.

Implications: This study shows that there is potential to use smartphones to decrease insulin use in pregnant women with gestational diabetes and should be considered.



Source: Rasekaba, T., Furler, J., Young, D., Liew, D., Gray, K., Blackberry, I., & W., L. (2018). Using technology to support care in gestational diabetes mellitus: Quantitative outcomes of an exploratory randomized control trial of adjunct telemedicine for gestational diabetes mellitus (TeleGDM). *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 142, 276-285. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.diabetes.2018.05.049

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results	Strengths/Limitations
	(Method/Instruments)		0
Purpose:	Method:	Results: Patients who	Strengths:
Review the use of	Exploratory	utilized the	Women were studied
telemedicine in	randomized control	telemedicine services	from time of diagnosis
GDM patients.	trial	reached glycemic	to delivery.
	Instrument:	control quicker;	All participants were
Sample/Setting:	Application on internet	despite that there were	studied in the same
95 GDM women:	access device,	no differences in face	place at the same time.
61 in intervention	handwritten diary, and	to face appointments,	
and 34 in control	hospital/clinic records.	C/S, fetal	Limitations:
at a metropolitan		macrosomia, and need	Participants needed
Melbourne		for higher level of	access to a computer,
hospital.		care for the newborn.	smartphone, or tablet
			with internet services
Johns Hopkins		Conclusion:	to utilize services.
Evidence		Telemedicine showed	Small sample size.
Appraisal:		no increase of service	Uneven randomization
Quantitative		utilization for GDM	of control and
Strength: Level I		patients.	intervention groups.
Quality: B/Good		Having to enter food	Data did not cross over
		and blood sugars	to patient records and
		creates an awareness	still had to be reviewed
		of those results and	by provider manually.
		quicker realization of	
		how-to better control	
		sugars.	

Author Recommendations:

Use of telemedicine may help the patient achieve glycemic control sooner and does not pose a disadvantage otherwise.

Implications:

When considering alternative therapies for GDM patients telemedicine needs more research and better products available to fully be utilized by both the patient and the practitioner.



Source: Simmons, D., Devlieger, R., Assche, A. V., Galjaard, S., Corcoy, R., Adelantado, J., . . Poppel, M. V. (2018). Association between gestational weight gain, gestational diabetes risk, and obstetric outcomes: A randomized controlled trial post hoc analysis. *Nutrients*, 10(11), 1568. doi:10.3390/nu10111568

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results / Conclusion	Strengths/
	(Method/Instruments)		Limitations
Purpose: To see	Design: RTC post hoc	Results: GA ranged	Strengths: No
if the hypothesis	analysis	from 8 to 19+6 wks.	other study has
that the greater	Initially compared women	The median GWG	achieved the
gestational	above and below the	between the pre-	degree of
weight gain	median gestational weight	pregnancy weight	GWG
limitation, the	gain (GWG) independent	and 24-28 wks was	limitation as
greater the	of any intervention. Then	5.5 kg and at 35-37	this study did.
difference in	used the DALI (Vitamin D	wks was 9.5 kg.	One of the
GDM rates,	& Lifestyle intervention for	Greatest GWG	larger RCT on
fasting glucose,	GDM prevention) lifestyle	commenced at a	lifestyle to
and adverse	study & stratified it by site	lower weight & BMI,	prevent GDM.
outcomes	& treated each site as its	were also more likely	Encompasses a
between control	own RTC to test if GDM	to be smokers &	large number
and intervention	rate was reduced in the 5	nulliparous. Greatest	of different
subjects.	sites with the greatest	GWG at 24-28 wks	lifestyles and
Sample/Setting	GWG. Used the healthy	had a lower fasting	cultures.
205 over 18	eating & physical activity	glucose. Greatest	Approved by
years of age,	intervention.	GWG at 35-37 wks	the relevant
prior to 20 weeks	DALI lifestyle study is a	had lower fasting, 1	ethical
GA with a pre-	RCT trial registration,	hr, & 2 hr glucose	committees
pregnancy BMI	compares 3 different	concentrations at	and registered
of greater than or	lifestyle approaches that	baseline.	as an RCT.
equal to 29	could prevent GDM across	Homeostasis model	Limitations:
kg/m2. The	10 European centers.	assessment insulin	Number of
study took place	Randomized by site to	resistance & insulin	patients
in ten European	usual care, healthy eating,	secretion were	successfully
centers	physical activity, or healthy	significantly higher	recruited was
(Cambridge,	eating and physical	among those with the	suboptimal in
Amsterdam,	activity. All completed a	greatest GWG at 24-	order to have
Leuven,	glucose tolerance test &	28 wks & 35-37 wks.	definitive
Barcelona,	any diagnosed with GDM	Highest GWG at 35-	answers.
Galway,	were excluded from the	37 wks also had	Women that
Pisa/Padova,	study. Assessments made	larger babies.	come into an
Vienna, Poznan,	at baseline (before 20wks),	Glucose levels,	RCT are more
Copenhagen, and	between 24-28 wks,	measures of insulin	motivated than
Odense) between	between 35-37 wks, & at	resistance &	most women.
January 2012	gestation. Assigned to a	secretion, & GDM	The control
and February	lifestyle coach that	rates were not	group
2014.	provided 5 face to face and	significantly different	appeared to be
	up to 4 telephone coaching	with the physical	more



Johns Hopkins	sessions based on	activity or healthy	motivated to
Evidence	principles of motivational	eating groups. They	improve their
Appraisal:	interviewing. 4/5 face to	did have less GWG	lifestyle and
Quantitative	face sessions were	at 24-28 wks & 35-	possibly
Strength: Level	completed prior to the	wks than the usual	reducing the
1	second measurement (24-	care group (2.6 kg).	rates between
Quality:	28wks). All coaches	The group with	the
B/Good	received standardized	combined	intervention
The researchers	training as and an	intervention was	and control
used a large	interventional took kit.	associated with a	groups.
sample size over	Healthy eating- promoted	significantly lower	Including
different cultures	food-based, lower simple	large for gestational	hyperglycemia
therefore making	complex carb, lower fat,	ager rate.	at baseline may
results	higher fiber, & higher	Conclusion:	have had a
generalizable.	protein diet with a focus on	Gestational weight	greater benefit
They also used	smaller portion size &	gain is associated with	from the
RTC for the	limited calories.	an increase in insulin	intervention.
design study.	Physical activity- promoted	resistance, adverse	
The article is not	aerobic & resistance	obstetric outcomes,	
high quality	physical activity.	and glycemia. This	
because there are	Questionnaires were used to	study showed that the	
not definitive	gather information on	sites with the greatest	
conclusions or	demographics such as pre-	reduction in GWG had	
consistent	pregnancy weight smoking,	no reduction in GDM	
recommendations.	ETOH consumption,	or its risks but did	
There were also	past/current medical history,	correlate with a	
numerous	obstetric history, &	reduction in babies	
limitations.	medication use. Data was	that were large for	
	collected from medical	gestational age.	
	records for birth weight,	Lifestyle intervention	
	obstetric and perinatal	in the second trimester	
	outcomes, and co-	is too late for the	
	morbidities.	prevention of	
		gestational diabetes.	

Author Recommendations: More randomized controlled trials are needed in the first trimester in order to understand the mechanisms behind a metabolic trajectory.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Lifestyle interventions need to be done prior to pregnancy. Education & preconception counseling are key to help decrease the dx of GDM & health complications.



Source: Singh, H., Soyoltulga, K., Fong, T., & Billimek, J. (2018). Delivery outcomes, emergency room visits, and psychological aspects of gestational diabetes results from a community hospital multiethnic cohort. *The Diabetes Educator*, 44(5), 465-474, https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0145721718795589

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/Li
	(Method/		mitations
	Instruments)		
To evaluate perinatal outcomes and experiences of women who had managed GDM	Mixed-method design Instruments: Semi-structured Interviews	Lowest rates of cesarean delivery and ED utilization during pregnancy was in Southeast and East Asian women (23.2%)	Large sample size in effluent location.
 managed GDM. Sample/Setting: Phase 1:564 women with a diagnosis of GDM who participated in the Sweet Success program in California from multiple cultural backgrounds and had a delivery date between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016. Phase 2: 29 of the women from phase 1 who agreed to participate further. Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level I Quality: B/Good 	Interviews Multivariable logistic regression models.	 women (23.2%). Hispanic women were most likely to have a cesarean delivery, then non-Hispanic whites, then Asian Indians. Hispanics also had the highest percentage of at least 1 ED visit at 15%. Maternal age and obesity were found to also have higher rates of cesarean deliveries. Many women reported that the diagnosis of GDM felt like a failure, and consequently had no realization of the risk of post-natal diabetes. Several also reported not routinely checking their sugar due to being busy. They felt their healthcare team should do a better job of providing support groups and connecting them with other patients. Conclusion: Ethnic variation shows correlation with cesarean rates for GDM mothers. Culturally sensitive support groups as well as dietary recommendations are 	Limitations: Data was collected from interviews so information could have been altered or not included per personal preferences. Unable to determine if culture, age, or body habitus had the highest influence of GDM diagnosis.
		important for higher adherence rates.	



To consider culturally sensitive approaches when counseling women with GDM.

Implications:

Encourages to highlight culturally specific programs to improve utilizations of said programs. Also, consider with-in clinic group counseling or programs for patients who desire to speak with other women going through the same thing.



Source: Wang, C., Wei, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Xu, Q., Sun, Y., ... Yang, H. (2017). A randomized clinical trial of exercise during pregnancy to prevent gestational diabetes mellitus and improve pregnancy outcome in overweight and obese pregnant women. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 216(4), 340-351. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2017.01.037

	1		
Purpose/Sample	Design (Method/Instruments)	Results/ Conclusion	Strengths/ Limitations
Purpose: To test	Design: RCT	Results: 25.3-26%	Strengths:
efficacy of regular	Randomly allocated to an	in each group were	Written
exercise in early	exercise group (EG) or	obese.	consent,
pregnancy to prevent	control group (CG)	The number of	ethics
gestational diabetes	EG: assigned to exercise	exercise sessions	committee
in Chinese	via a cycling program 3	attended was	approval.
overweight/obese	times a week for at least	73+10 over a	Supervised
pregnant women	30 min with a perceived	period of 27+2	exercise
Sample/Setting	exertion between 12-14.	weeks. 90% of the	intervention
821 assessed, 521	CG: continued with usual	participants in the	ensured
excluded, 300	daily activities, were not	EG compliant	appropriate
randomized. Analyzed	discouraged from	with the program.	amount of
112 intervention &	participating in exercise	The lowest	intensity. Did
114 control. Peking	sessions on their own	attendance rate	not include
University First	Intervention started	was 73%. Mean	nutritional
Hospital, Dec 2014 to	within 3 days of	duration of each	component,
July 2016.	randomization and lasted	session was 35+6	so exercise
Nonsmoking over the	until 37 wks GA	minutes with an	was discerned
age of 18 with a	Both groups received	RPE of 13 <u>+</u> 1	itself.
uncomplicated	standard prenatal care	(somewhat hard).	Equal number
singleton pregnancy at	without special dietary	Incidence of GM	of obese
GA <12+6, who were	recommendations.	was 22% in EG	patients in
overweight/obese	All exercise studies were	and 40.6% in the	each group.
(BMI 24 <u><</u> 28 kg/m2)	done at the Peking	CG (95% CI).	
the Group of Chinese	University First Hospital	Representing a	Limitations:
Ministry and Health.	under supervision and	45.8% reduction in	Study may
Exclusions:	conducted on alternate	GDM.	have been too
contraindications to	days.	EG had lower	small to
physical activity,	International Physical	blood glucose	demonstrate a
unwilling to provide	Activity Questionnaire	levels at 0,1,&2	positive result
informed consent,	was used at study entry &	hrs at the post	on perinatal
cervical insufficiency,	at 25 and 36 wks to assess	intervention GTT	outcomes.
on medication for	physical activity levels.	compared with	Done in one
preexisting HTN, DM,		CG. P=.001,	population.
cardiac disease, renal		P=.009, and	Not including
disease, systemic		P=.009.	dietary



lupus erythematosus, thyroid disease, or psychosis. Currently being treated with metformin or corticosteroids. Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level I Quality: B/Good	EG had higher total physical activity at 25 and 36 wks GA. Levels of vigorous physical activity and walking were similar between the groups at 25 & 36 wks GA. EG had significantly less GWG at 25 wks (4.08 ± 3.02 vs 5.92 ± 2.5 kg; P<.001) & at the end of pregnancy (8.38 ± 3.65 vs 10.47 ± 3.33 kg; P<.001). There was no significant difference in GWG between 25-36 wks (4.55 ± 2.06 vs 4.59 ± 2.31 kg; P=.896). Insulin resistance was lower in the EG than CG at 25 wks (2.92 ± 1.27 vs 3.38 ± 2.00 ; P=.033). No differences in gestational HTN, preeclampsia, and cesarean delivery. No differences in GA at birth, preterm birth, or APGAR score at 1 or 5 min. Infants born to women in the EG	measures restrained from further analysis.
	women in the EG had a significantly lower birth weight than CG	



(3345.27 <u>+</u> 397.07 vs 3457.46 <u>+</u>	
vs 3457.46 <u>+</u>	J
446.00; P=.049).	
Conclusion:	
Cycling initiated	
early in pregnancy	
and performed for	
at least 30 minutes	
3 times a week is	
associated with a	
significant	
reduction in the	
frequency of GDM	
in	
overweight/obese	
nregnant women	
Relevant to that	
evercise at the	
beginning of	
pregnancy	
decreases GWG	
nrior to mid	
second trimester	
No evidence that	
avaraise in this	
study increased	
study increased the risk of	
uie fisk of protorm birth or	
preterm onth or	
reduced mean	
GA at olitin.	

Author Recommendations: Results suggest that preventing the development of GDM in women with risk factors might be challenging but highlight the importance of seeing effective ways to reduce the incidence of GDM in high risk women. Pre-Pregnancy overweight and obesity are main health care challenges.

Summary for current clinical practice question: Counseling women prior to pregnancy is important to decrease risk for GDM. Pre conceptional counseling should be done to help women maintain a healthy lifestyle and normal BMI. Women should be educated on the risks of GDM and what they can do to help decrease their chances of getting dx. They also should be educated on the impacts it has for the baby, pregnancy, and health complications later in life.



Source: Wang, S., Ma, J., & Yang, H. (2015). Lifestyle intervention for gestational diabetes mellitus prevention: A cluster-randomized controlled study. Chronic Diseases and Translational Medicine, 1(3), 169-174. doi:10.1016/j.cdtm.2015.09.001

Purpose/Sample	Design	Results/	Strengths/
	(Method/Instruments)	Conclusion	Limitations
Purpose: To determine if GDM can be prevented in the 1 st trimester with early initiation of diet, exercise, and monitoring of weight gain. Sample/Setting: 272 women with singleton pregnancies seen before 8 weeks' gestation with at least one risk factor for GDM, at Peking University First Hospital. 134 received intervention, 138 were in control group. (1664 women were screened.) Level of evidence: Johns Hopkins Evidence Appraisal: Quantitative Strength: Level I Quality: B/Good	Design: RCT Method: Those with one of these risk factors were excluded in the study: multiple pregnancy or pre- existing diabetes. Those with at least one risk factor were included. Risk factors are AMA, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m ² , history of GDM or PCOS, family history of DM or macrosomia from a previous pregnancy. 17% (299/1664) of pregnancies had high risk factors. Lifestyle Interventions were introduced ay 6-8 gestation of diet, exercise, and monitoring of weight gain. Given in courses by a physician presented in groups of six. Nutritional counselors suggested diet and weight gain goals per person. At 24-28 weeks the normal oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was administered per the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups (IADPSG) criteria. Instruments: Questionnaire for risk factors. Lab for biochemistry and nurse's assessment of body height, weight.	Results: Women in the intervention group gained slightly less weight and had lower fasting glucose rates; but the difference was not statistically significant. The intervention group had GDM at a rate of 17.16% (23/134) and the control group had GDM at a rate of 23.91% (33/138); but p=0.168, statistical significance was < 0.05. Conclusion: Some GDM can be reduced with lifestyle intervention at the beginning of pregnancy, but more methods need to be studied.	Strengths: New approach No statistical difference in basic parameters between control and intervention group. Limitations: Small sample size Risk factors were self- reported, and not verified. Control group may have received some early counseling as well.



Author Recommendations: More studies needing, especially ones with fasting plasma glucose (FPG) in early pregnancy.

Summary for current clinical practice question:

It may be pertinent to think outside of the box when approaching GDM and think about primary prevention over tertiary prevention.

